



Disorganization of Attachment Strategies in Infancy and Childhood

KATE HENNIGHAUSEN, PhD

KARLEN LYONS-RUTH, PhD

Harvard Medical School, USA

(Published online May 4, 2005)

(Revised June 4, 2007)

Topic

Attachment

Introduction

The attachment relationship between parent and child refers to those aspects of the relationship that serve to regulate the infant's stressful arousal or sense of felt security. The quality of regulation of fearful affect available in attachment relationships is fundamental to the developing child's freedom to turn attention away from issues of threat and security toward other developmental achievements, such as exploration, learning and play. Under normal conditions, an adequately functioning attachment relationship buffers the infant against extreme levels of fearful arousal. However, the attachment relationship may also malfunction. Based on accumulated research findings, disorganized and controlling forms of attachment behaviour are now thought to represent signs of malfunction of the attachment relational system. Both caregiver and infant contribute to the infant-caregiver negotiations that occur around distress and comfort, as well as to the potential defensive adaptations that may result from those negotiations.

Disorganized attachment behaviours in infancy

Disorganized attachment strategies, or contradictory and un-integrated behaviours toward the caregiver when comfort is needed, can first be identified at 12 months of age. For example, freezing, huddling on the floor and other depressed behaviours in the presence of the caregiver when under stress are part of the coding criteria for disorganized behaviours. Contradictory approach-avoidance behaviours toward the caregiver when under stress are also indicators of a disorganized strategy, as shown in Table 1. These various contradictory and un-integrated behaviours are thought to indicate the infant's inability to organize a coherent strategy for eliciting comfort from the caregiver and are differentially associated with increased release of stress hormones.^{1,2} Disorganized attachment behaviours may occur in combination with other insecure behaviours that are part of an avoidant or ambivalent attachment strategy. A majority of disorganized behaviours, however, are displayed in combination with other behaviours that are usually part of a secure strategy, such as protesting separation, seeking contact with mother at reunion and ceasing distress after being picked up. Infants who display disorganized

versions of secure strategies constitute a slight majority (52%) of infants classified as disorganized.^{3,4}

Controlling attachment patterns in childhood

By three to six years of age, the child has acquired more cognitive capability to represent and reason about the caregiver's emotional states. By this age, the disorganized attachment behaviours of many infants have been replaced by controlling forms of attachment strategies. Controlling attachment behaviours take two very different forms, termed controlling-punitive and controlling-caregiving. Controlling-punitive behaviour involves the child's attempts to maintain the parent's attention and involvement through hostile, coercive or more subtly humiliating behaviours when attachment concerns are aroused. Controlling-caregiving behaviour involves the child's attempts to maintain the parent's attention and involvement by entertaining, organizing, directing or giving approval to the parent. Both disorganized attachment strategies in infancy and controlling attachment strategies in the preschool years are associated with preschool and school-aged aggression and psychopathology.⁵ In addition, disorganized attachment in infancy remains predictive of elevated levels of dissociative symptoms and overall psychopathology in late adolescence.^{6,7}

Parental behaviours related to disorganized/controlling attachment strategies

An increased incidence of infant disorganization is observed in the context of parental psychopathology, but not in the context of infant illness or physical disability.^{8,9} A meta-analysis has also confirmed that parental lapses of reasoning or discourse style during loss or trauma-related portions of the Adult Attachment Interview (termed an unresolved state of mind) are associated with infant disorganization, $r=.31$.⁹ However, the mechanisms underlying this association remain to be established. Almost half of disorganized infants (47%)¹⁰ do not have parents with unresolved states of mind. Main and Hesse¹¹ have advanced the hypothesis that if the parent herself arouses the infant's fear, this will place the infant in an un-resolvable paradox regarding whether to approach the parent for comfort. This is because the parent becomes both the source of the infant's fear and the haven of safety. Animal research makes clear that withdrawing parental behaviours that fail to soothe the infant's fearful arousal are also associated with hyper-arousal of the stress response system.^{12,13} Therefore, both fearful affect generated by the parent and fearful affect generated from other sources in the context of parental emotional unavailability may contribute to infant disorganization.^{14,15,16,17} A spectrum of parental behaviours has been shown to be associated with infant disorganization. These behaviours include parental withdrawal, negative-intrusive responses, role-confused responses, disoriented responses and frightened or frightening behaviours. The spectrum also includes affective communication errors, such as contradictory responses to infant signals and failure to respond to clear affective signals from the infant.

Intervening with disorganized/controlling families

Intervention programs designed to modify disorganized attachment strategies have generally focused on the infancy period. Treatment goals have usually included building a warm and responsive therapeutic relationship to provide a corrective attachment experience for the parent. Further goals include helping the parent understand the effects

of prior relationships on current feelings and interactions; coaching the parent on sensitive, age-appropriate responses to the child's attachment signals; and connecting the family to additional resources. Interventions that have successfully prevented or changed disorganized attachment classifications in infancy have involved more clinically impaired populations. Such interventions have been characterized by frequent home visits with parent and infant over fairly long periods of time (e.g. 42 visits over 12 months;¹⁸ 47 visits over 18 months;¹⁹ 29 home visits over one year²⁰). Less successful interventions have typically been shorter (e.g. three²¹ or four²² visits) and conducted with lower-risk families. The positive potential of early interventions is buttressed by evidence outside the attachment field that interventions for stressed low-income parents have shown long-term effects on child aggressive behaviour into early adulthood.^{23,24,25,26} Thoughtful clinical models and well-designed controlled treatment trials are now needed to evaluate which service designs best meet the needs of children with disorganized attachment patterns.

Conclusions

Disorganized attachment processes are early predictors of both internalizing and externalizing forms of psychopathology from the preschool period onward. These attachment processes are distinct from child temperament and appear to reside in child-caregiver relational processes rather than in the child or parent alone. Attachment disorganization is likely to be an indicator for a broad relational contribution to psychopathology that cuts across conventional diagnostic categories and interacts with individual biological vulnerability, producing a range of psychiatric symptoms. Variability in behavioural profiles within the disorganized group suggests that multiple etiological models may be needed. Differing biological vulnerabilities interacting with differing experiences of loss, abuse and/or chronically hostile or neglecting relationships may lead to quite different developmental trajectories and adult outcomes. Current frontiers include investigation of gene-environment interaction in the etiology of disorganized attachment,^{27, 28, 29, 30} and differentiation of correlates and outcomes related to indiscriminate attachment behaviour compared to disorganized attachment behaviour.
31

Implications for Policy and Services

Much more emphasis is needed on funding, assessment and provision of early services to families with infants before the expensive developmental trajectories associated with child psychopathology begin to unfold. We now have an array of observational methods to evaluate the quality of the infant-parent attachment relationship by the age of 18 months, before the onset of more serious behaviour problems. Service-providers in contact with young families need more training in using and interpreting these early observational tools. Finally, econometric analyses now clearly indicate the cost-effectiveness, both in dollars and in human suffering, of providing early services to families in infancy, before the expensive developmental trajectories associated with child psychopathology consume increasing societal resources.³²

TABLE 1

Indices of Infant Disorganization and Disorientation in the Presence of the Parent

1. Sequential display of contradictory behaviour patterns, such as strong attachment behaviour followed by avoidance or disorientation;
2. Simultaneous display of contradictory behaviour patterns, such as strong avoidance with strong contact-seeking, distress or anger;
3. Undirected, misdirected, incomplete and interrupted movements and expressions;
4. Stereotypes, asymmetrical movements, mistimed movements and anomalous postures;
5. Freezing, stilling or “slow-motion” movements and expressions;
6. Direct indices of apprehension regarding the parent;
7. Direct indices of disorganization or disorientation in the presence of the parent, such as disoriented wandering, confused or dazed expressions, or multiple, rapid changes of affect.

Note: See Main & Solomon³ for complete descriptions.

To learn more on this topic, consult the following sections of the Encyclopedia:

- [How important is it?](#)
- [What do we know?](#)
- [What can be done?](#)
- [According to experts](#)
- [Key messages](#)

REFERENCES

1. Spangler G, Grossmann K. Individual and physiological correlates of attachment disorganization in infancy. In: Solomon J, George C, eds. *Attachment disorganization*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1999:95-124.

2. Hertsgaard L, Gunnar M, Erickson MF, Nachmias M. Adrenocortical responses to the strange situation in infants with disorganized/disoriented attachment relationships. *Child Development* 1995;66(4):1100-1106.
3. Main M, Solomon J. Procedures for identifying infants as disorganized/disoriented during the Ainsworth Strange Situation. In: Greenberg MT, Cicchetti D, Cummings EM, eds. *Attachment in the preschool years: Theory, research and intervention*. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1990:121-160.
4. NICHD Early Child Care Research Network. Child-care and family predictors of preschool attachment and stability from infancy. *Developmental Psychology* 2001;37(6):847-862.
5. Lyons-Ruth K, Jacobvitz D. Attachment disorganization: Unresolved loss, relational violence, and lapses in behavioural and attentional strategies. In: Cassidy J, Shaver PR, eds. *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1999:520-554.
6. Carlson EA. A prospective longitudinal study of attachment disorganization/disorientation. *Child Development* 1998;69(4):1107-1128.
7. Ogawa JR, Sroufe LA, Weinfield NS, Carlson EA, Egeland B. Development and the fragmented self: Longitudinal study of dissociative symptomatology in a nonclinical sample. *Development and Psychopathology* 1997;9(4):855-879.
8. Goldberg S, Gotowiec A, Simmons RJ. Infant-mother attachment and behaviour problems in healthy and chronically ill preschoolers. *Development and Psychopathology* 1995;7(2):267-282.
9. van IJzendoorn MH, Schuengel C, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ. Disorganized attachment in early childhood: Meta-analysis of precursors, concomitants, and sequelae. *Development and Psychopathology* 1999;11(2):225-249.
10. van IJzendoorn MH. Adult attachment representations, parental responsiveness, and infant attachment: A meta-analysis on the predictive validity of the Adult Attachment Interview. *Psychological Bulletin* 1995;117(3):387-403.
11. Main M, Hesse E. Parents' unresolved traumatic experiences are related to infant disorganized attachment status: Is frightened and/or frightening parental behaviour the linking mechanism? In: Greenberg MT, Cicchetti D, Cummings EM, eds. *Attachment in the preschool years: Theory, research and intervention*. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1990:161-182.
12. Coplan JD, Andrews MW, Rosenblum LA, Owens MJ, Friedman S, Gorman JM, Nemeroff CB. Persistent elevations of cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of corticotropin-releasing factor in adult nonhuman primates exposed to early-life stressors: Implications for the pathophysiology of mood and anxiety disorders. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1996;93(4):1619-1623.
13. Francis D, Diorio J, Liu D, Meaney MJ. Nongenomic transmission across generations of maternal behaviour and stress responses in the rat. *Science* 1999;286(5442):1155-1158.
14. Schuengel C, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, van IJzendoorn MH. Frightening maternal behaviour linking unresolved loss and disorganized infant attachment. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 1999;67(1):54-63.

15. Lyons-Ruth K, Bronfman E, Parsons E. Atypical attachment in infancy and early childhood among children at developmental risk. IV. Maternal frightened, frightening, or atypical behaviour and disorganized infant attachment patterns. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development* 1999;64(3):67-96.
16. Lyons-Ruth K, Bronfman E, Atwood G. A relational diathesis model of hostile-helpless states of mind: Expressions in mother-infant interaction. In: Solomon J, George C, eds. *Attachment disorganization*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1999:33-70.
17. Goldberg S, Benoit D, Blokland K, Madigan S. Atypical maternal behaviour, maternal representations, and infant disorganized attachment. *Development and Psychopathology* 2003;15(2):239-257.
18. Heinicke CM, Fineman NR, Ruth G, Recchia SL, Guthrie D, Rodnig C. Relationship-based intervention with at-risk mothers: Outcome in the first year of life. *Infant Mental Health Journal* 1999;20(4):349-374.
19. Lyons-Ruth K, Connell DB, Grunebaum HU, Botein S. Infants at social risk: Maternal depression and family support services as mediators of infant development and security of attachment. *Child Development* 1990;61(1):85-98.
20. Gelfand DM, Teti DM, Seiner SA, Jameson PB. Helping mothers fight depression: Evaluation of a home-based intervention program for depressed mothers and their infants. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology* 1996;25(4):406-422.
21. van den Boom DC. The influence of temperament and mothering on attachment and exploration: An experimental manipulation of sensitive responsiveness among lower-class mothers with irritable infants. *Child Development* 1994;65(5):1457-1477.
22. Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Juffer F, van IJzendoorn MH. Interventions with video feedback and attachment discussions: Does type of maternal insecurity make a difference? *Infant Mental Health Journal* 1998;19(2):202-219.
23. Lyons-Ruth K, Melnick S. Dose-response effect of mother-infant clinical home visiting on aggressive behaviour problems in Kindergarten. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2004;43(6):699-707.
24. Olds D, Henderson CJr, Kitzman H, Eckenrode J, Cole R, Tatelbaum R. The promise of home visitation: Results of two randomized trials. *Journal of Community Psychology* 1998;26(1):5-21.
25. Schweinhart LJ, Barnes H, Weikart D. *Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study through age 27*. Ypsilanti, Mich: High/Scope Press; 1993.
26. Lally JR, Mangione PL, Honig AS. The Syracuse University Family Development Research Program: Long-range impact on an early intervention with low-income children and their families. In: Powell DR, ed. *Parent education as early childhood intervention: Emerging directions in theory, research and practice*. Westport, Conn: Ablex Publishing; 1988:79-104. *Annual advances in applied developmental psychology*; vol. 3.
27. Lakatos K, Toth I, Nemoda Z, Ney K, Sasvari-Szekely M, Gervai J. Dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) gene polymorphism is associated with attachment disorganization in infants. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2000;5(6):633-637.

ATTACHMENT

28. Lakatos K, Nemoda Z, Toth I. Further evidence for the role of the dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) gene in attachment disorganization: Interaction of the exon III 48-bp repeat and the -521 C/T promoter polymorphisms. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2002;7(1):27-31
29. van Ijzendoorn MH, Schuengel C, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ. Disorganized attachment in early childhood: Meta-analysis of precursors, concomitants, and sequelae. *Development and Psychopathology* 1999;11(2):225-249.
30. Gervai J, Novak A, Lakatos K, Toth I, Danis I, Ronai Z, Nemoda Z, Sasvari-Szekely M, Bureau JF, Bronfman E, Lyons-Ruth K. Infant genotype may moderate sensitivity to maternal affective communications: Attachment disorganization, quality of care, and the DRD4 polymorphism. *Social Neuroscience* 2007;2(3-4):307-319.
31. Zeanah CH, Smyke AT, Koga SF. Bucharest Early Intervention Project Core Group; Attachment in institutionalized and community children in Romania. *Child Development* 2005; 76(5):1015-1028.
32. Karoly LA, Greenwood PW, Everingham SS, Hoube J, Kilburn R, Rydell P, Sanders M, Chiesa J. *Investing in our children: What we know and don't know about the costs and benefits of early childhood interventions*. Santa Monica, Calif: RAND Corporation; 1998. Available at: <http://www.rand.org/publications/MR/MR898/>. Accessed February 17, 2005.

To cite this document:

Hennighausen K, Lyons-Ruth K. Disorganization of attachment strategies in infancy and childhood. Rev ed. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2007:1-7. Available at: http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/Hennighausen-LyonsRuthANGxp_rev.pdf. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2005-2007