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Introduction

There is a voluminous body of literature to support the theory that family poverty adversely affects children’s health, intellectual capabilities, academic achievement, and behaviour. By contrast, a growing body of literature has demonstrated how various policies and interventions can attenuate poverty’s negative influence on child development.

Subject

Most research in this field has focused on the following:

- Examining statistical linkages between family poverty and psychosocial development in children
- Adjusting these linkages for confounding factors
- Identifying the mechanisms by which poverty exerts its negative influence
- Determining which clinical and public policy interventions are most likely to attenuate poverty’s deleterious effects on children’s psychosocial development.

Problems

The key problems in this area of investigation are as follows:

- Determining the mechanisms and pathways by which poverty produces negative effects
- Separating the effects of family poverty from the effects of living in impoverished communities
Research Context

Research in this area has included cross-sectional and longitudinal observational studies on the effects of poverty, as well as the randomized assignment and investigation of short-term and (more importantly, but more difficult to ascertain) long-term outcomes of intervention. Obviously, the nature of the problem precludes the random assignment of test subject groups to conditions of poverty vs. non-poverty conditions, and animal studies cannot be used to corroborate, expand upon, or explicate findings from epidemiological studies.

Key Research Questions

The key research questions in this area are as follows:

- What are the mechanisms and pathways by which poverty exerts a negative effect on child psychosocial development?
- What programs and policies attenuate poverty's negative effects on child psychosocial development?

Recent Research Results

Among the major confounders and pathways by which poverty exerts a negative influence on child psychosocial development, we found:

- community factors, such as schools, neighbourhoods, peer influences, paucity of job opportunities, cost of food and other essentials, and exposure to stress and violence;\textsuperscript{16,36,38}
- single-parent households (the feminization of poverty, as well as the adverse psychosocial effects of divorce and of having only one adult oversee activities, day-in and day-out);
- young maternal age at birth of child;
- low maternal education;\textsuperscript{2,3,7,15}
- increased family size;
- breastfeeding;
- maternal depression;\textsuperscript{17,22,51}
- paternal depression;\textsuperscript{31,32}
- obesity;\textsuperscript{30}
- smoking,\textsuperscript{21} and secondhand smoke exposure;\textsuperscript{57}
The neurocognitive effects of lead poisoning, of failure to thrive, and possibly, of iron deficiency and other early health problems in children appear to be largely or totally irreversible. All of these factors build a strong case for instituting effective primary prevention strategies. Moreover, underprivileged children with low birthweight and lead poisoning appear to suffer from greater cognitive impairments than do low birthweight, lead-poisoned children from more economically privileged families. Indeed, these findings may apply to the effects of other chronic conditions as well.

Poverty has been shown to be independently associated with lower IQ, early school failure, school retention, suspension, and dropout; increased rates of behaviour problems; and lack of access to mental health services when faced with behaviour problems. To date, associations between poverty, diminished intellectual capabilities and academic achievement have been more robustly demonstrated than have associations between poverty, increased rates of behaviour problems and mental health problems. Also, long-term poverty is more damaging than short-term poverty, and poverty that occurs during infancy and preschool years appears to be more damaging than poverty experienced later in childhood. Recent studies suggest that growing up in poverty leads to systematic changes in brain development. These changes involve the prefrontal cortex and affect so-called executive functions, such as self-regulation, planning, and emotional control.

Evidence suggests that many clinical and public policies are effective in addressing factors associated with poverty that impair children’s development. These policies include the provision of early intervention programs, especially publicly funded, centre-based, and comprehensive early child development programs. These kind of programs have been shown to be effective in preventing developmental delay, improving grade retention and accelerating placement into special education. Nutrition support programs, such as food support programs for pregnant women and infants, and school nutrition programs, such as the school breakfast program, have been shown to reduce rates of low birthweight, iron deficiency, and school underachievement. Nurse home visitation has been associated with improvements in various measures of the quality of the home environment and in child development outcomes. They have been shown to reduce adverse maternal health-related behaviour and improve low birthweight, children’s developmental status, and parental interaction with children. Bright Future, created by the American Academy of Pediatrics, provides clinicians with guidelines and recommendations to support quality preventive care at all stages of childhood.
subsidy programs for low-income families that provide rental vouchers for use in the private housing market allow families greater choice in where they choose to live, resulting in improved neighbourhood safety and reduced exposure to violence.\textsuperscript{36}

\textbf{Conclusion}

A large body of research has demonstrated the deleterious effects of family poverty on the multiple aspects of child psychosocial development. But while multiple pathways and confounders of poverty’s influence on child development have been identified, much remains unknown. Moreover, the literature demonstrates the proven or likely benefits of public policies and clinical practices in the psychosocial development of children growing up in poverty.

\textbf{Implications for Policy and Services}

Interventions with demonstrated effectiveness for children in poverty include:

- \textbf{(USA)} Head Start and early intervention programs for ex-premature babies and physically healthy preschoolers from low-income families. Policies that increase participation in and the quality of such services are likely to have beneficial effects on child development.

- Food supplementation programs such as the \textbf{(USA)} Women, Infants and Children’s Program (WIC) have been shown to reduce low birthweight and iron deficiency, and school nutrition programs, such as the school breakfast program, have been shown to improve scores on standardized tests of academic achievement.

- Nurse home visitation has been shown to result in improvements in multiple measures of the quality of home environments.

- Housing subsidy programs result in improved neighbourhood safety and reduced exposure to violence.

- Policies that diminish children's exposure to lead-contaminated house dust promote healthy development.

While we have found no studies to corroborate the efficacy of the following services and policies in improving the psychosocial functioning in children, we contend that they are likely to have a variety of positive effects on child development:

- Housing policies that diminish frequent moves for families or the homelessness of children benefit children physically and psychologically.

- Smoking cessation services for pregnant women and parents, increased taxes on cigarettes, and bans on smoking in public areas, all reduce children’s prenatal and passive exposure to tobacco smoke, which appears to be a potent neurotoxicant.

- Improved access to quality health care is likely to have significant positive effects on the overall development of low-income children.

- Improved integration of health and other child and family services ensures continuity in care. Often, the only human service sector that regularly interacts with low-income parents and their children in the early
years of children’s lives is the primary medical care system. Developing better clinical approaches and systems of care that result in early identification, triage, referral, and treatment of chronic physical health, nutrition, and developmental problems is likely to improve children’s development.

- Services aimed at the identification and treatment of parental depression and other mental health problems are likely to improve the mental health of both parents and their children.
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