

PEER RELATIONS

The Origin of Peer Relationship Difficulties in Early Childhood and their Impact on Children's Psychosocial Adjustment and Development

Michel Boivin, PhD, Canada Chair on Children's Social Development

GRIP, École de psychologie & Université Laval, Canada

March 2005

Introduction

Peer relationships are thought to play an important role in children's development.^{1,2,3} They offer unique opportunities for getting acquainted with the social norms and processes involved in interpersonal relationships, and for learning new social skills. They also provide contexts in which capacities for self-control may be tested and refined. Childhood peer relations are also multi-faceted: children experience peer interactions through their participation in group activities, as well as through their dyadic (i.e. one-on-one) associations with friends.² These different facets of peer experiences are seen as providing age-related developmental opportunities for the

construction of the self, with peer group experiences progressively gaining in importance and culminating in middle childhood, before giving way to friendships as the most central feature in late childhood and adolescence.³

Problems

Unfortunately, peer relationships are not always beneficial to the child: between 5% and 10% of children experience chronic peer relationship difficulties, such as peer rejection⁴ and peer harassment.⁵ In the last 20 years, there has been substantial research aimed at understanding the nature, meaning and impact of peer relation problems.³ Most of this research effort has been centered on school-age children. Yet a growing number of children are exposed to peers early in their life through daycare.⁶ Early peer relations are thus highly relevant to social policy issues and should be an object of persistent attention.

Key Research Questions

There are at least four basic questions of relevance to the study of early peer relations:

1. What are the developmental landmarks of early peer interactions and peer relationships?
2. At what age do children start experiencing peer relationship difficulties?
3. What social behaviours are responsible for early peer relationship difficulties?
4. What are the consequences of early peer relationship difficulties?

Research Results

The developmental landmarks of early peer interactions and relationships: by the end of their first year of life, most infants will share activities with peers, mainly around objects. By the end of the second year of life, with improved locomotion and the onset of language, toddlers have the ability to coordinate behaviour in games with play partners; they can imitate each other and start to alternate roles in play.^{7,8} Between the ages of three and five, there is a systematic increase in prosocial behaviours and in pretend play, as well as a decrease in aggressive behaviours, reflecting the child's improved capacity to adopt the perspective of the play partner.^{9,8} These emerging social interactive skills are the foundation of early peer relationships, which are first shown in the behavioural preference for specific peers.^{10,8} These early preferences will gradually lead to preschool friendships that are mainly based on concrete exchanges and mutual play

activities. In daycare settings, these friendships progressively become sex-segregated and embedded in affiliative networks.^{11,12,13} Informal and mixed-aged play groups are also formed in the neighborhood.^{14,15}

At what age do children start experiencing peer relationship difficulties? Preschoolers gradually form their perceptions about their friends and peers. At least by age four, they will reliably identify best friends, peers they like and peers they dislike. The aggregation of these perceptions reveals a coherent and consistent peer status structure within the larger group, with specific children being disliked and negatively perceived by the peer group.^{16,17,10} This form of peer rejection may lead to various forms of negative behaviours toward the child, such as controlling and dominating a child, excessive teasing and general peer harassment or victimization.^{18,19} Peer harassment refers to a child being exposed, repeatedly and over time, to negative treatment by one or more children.²⁰ It has mostly been documented in middle childhood, but there is evidence that these difficulties exist in the preschool years.^{21,22,23}

What factors are responsible for early peer relationship difficulties? Deviant physical attributes, such as speech problems, physical clumsiness or disability, may lead to peer relation difficulties. However, children's behaviour attributes have been more systematically identified as the main sources of these difficulties. Children who experience peer relationship difficulties tend to be more aggressive, hyperactive and oppositional, but also more socially withdrawn and less sociable.^{22,24,25} These behaviours could be the proximal determinants, as well as the consequences, of their relationship difficulties in early childhood (see below). Aggressive behaviours are the most commonly cited behavioural correlates and proximal determinants of peer rejection in school settings.^{26,27,3} However, some aggressive children may actually enjoy a fairly high social status,²⁸ especially if the group norms are supportive or neutral with regard to aggressive behaviours.²⁹ This is more likely the case among preschool children because instrumental and proactive forms of aggressive behaviours may be positively related to popularity.³⁰ Indeed, children of that age, especially boys,³¹ often use aggressive means to reach high status in the social structure. A related phenomenon is that aggressive preschoolers also tend to proactively associate with or befriend each other,^{32,33} a tendency that could reinforce aggressive behaviours as a means of reaching social goals.^{34,35} Finally, shy and withdrawn children are also likely to experience peer relation difficulties.³⁶ However, in this latter case, the relational problems are more likely to occur at a later age because these forms of social reticence are less salient and obvious to preschoolers.³⁷

What are the consequences of early peer relationship difficulties? There is a consensus in the

field of childhood peer relations that children experiencing peer relationship difficulties are at risk for a variety of future adjustment problems, including dropping out of school, delinquency and emotional problems.^{3,38} However, the developmental processes leading to these later problems are still open to question: are early peer relation difficulties really causing these adjustment problems or are these problems resulting from enduring child characteristics?³⁹ Enduring peer relationship difficulties in childhood have been found to predict internalized problems such as loneliness, depression and anxiety, as well as physical health and school problems.^{38,40,3} The evidence with preschool children is more limited, but points in the same direction.^{21,22,41} However, it is not clear whether these early peer relationship problems will have long-term consequences. Peer rejection in kindergarten may also strengthen reactive aggressive behaviours among children initially disposed toward aggression, possibly because the experience of peer rejection induces and promotes hostile attributions and expectations about social situations.⁴² As stated earlier, mutual affiliation among aggressive children may also reinforce their aggressive behaviours during early childhood. Indeed, peer interactions among aggressive children during preschool years are sometimes occasions for coercive interchanges, which may, under some conditions (e.g., child's submissiveness, adult and peer tolerance of aggression), serve as learning opportunities and provide training grounds for aggressive behaviors.⁴³ This process, labelled "deviancy training," has received substantial empirical support.⁴⁴ Preliminary evidence seems to indicate that time spent in daycare is associated with higher rates of aggression,^{45,6} and deviancy training processes might partly be responsible for this.^{33,46} Finally, it should also be noted that friendship relations (e.g., affiliation with aggressive children;³⁴ having a protective friend⁴⁷) may also play an important protective role with respect to negative peer experiences and the impact of these negative experiences. These processes may also operate in preschool.

Conclusions

The social lives of preschoolers are quite elaborate and refined as they face a variety of positive and negative peer experiences throughout their early years. Individual differences in peer adjustment may be noticed as soon as peer groups are formed. At least by age four, a significant proportion of preschoolers will experience peer relationship difficulties such as peer rejection and peer harassment, and these negative experiences could have an impact on their social-emotional adjustment and development. The developmental dynamics of these difficulties are multifaceted and involve bi-directional and differentiated associations with preschoolers' behaviour tendencies. Among these, inappropriate social behaviours such as aggressive behaviours are

clearly involved, but in complex ways. Not only are they significant proximal determinants of peer relationship difficulties, but they are also embedded in an emergent social matrix that could maintain and promote aggressive tendencies. Starting in kindergarten, hostile aggressive behaviours appear associated with, and perhaps augmented by, peer rejection. However, most aggressive toddlers are not marginalized, but rather tend to associate with each other in the preschool years. This could lead to some forms of deviancy training.

Implications for Policy and Services Perspectives

It is not clear whether early positive and negative early peer relationships have long-term benefits or liabilities. However, given the evidence reviewed herein, it is obvious that this question should be of concern to policy-makers and service-providers. Undoubtedly, many adjustment problems can be traced back to early peer relationship problems. The challenge of the research community is to more clearly understand the origin, development and impact of healthy and problematic peer relationships in early childhood. Early developmental prospective studies are crucial to this endeavour. These fundamental questions are all the more important because a growing number of children experience peer relations early through a variety of public and private daycare arrangements. These services also intervene earlier than ever in the lives of children. It will be important to evaluate how various daycare arrangements may or may not promote healthy peer relationships. These research efforts should also help in the design and evaluation of appropriate and efficient prevention programs. For instance, it is now clear that we should not group toddlers displaying aggressive behaviours for the purpose of treatment.

References

1. Asher SR, Coie JD. *Peer rejection in childhood*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 1990.
2. Hartup WW. The company they keep: Friendships and their developmental significance. *Child Development* 1996;67(1):1-13.
3. Rubin KH, Bukowski W, Parker JG. Peer interactions, relationships, and groups. In: Eisenberg N, ed. *Social, emotional, and personality development*. New York, NY: J. Wiley; 1998:619-700. Damon W, ed-in-chief. *Handbook of Child Psychology*; vol 3.
4. Coie JD, Dodge KA. Continuities and changes in children's social status: A five-year longitudinal study. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly* 1983;29(3):261-281.
5. Perry DG, Kusel SJ, Perry LC. Victims of peer aggression. *Developmental Psychology* 1988;24(6):807-814.
6. NICHD Early Child Care Research Network. Does amount of time spent in child care predict socioemotional adjustment during the transition to kindergarten? *Child Development* 2003;74(4):976-1005.
7. Ross HS, Lollis SP, Elliot C. Toddler-peer communication. In: Rubin KH, Ross HS, eds. *Peer relationships and social skills in childhood*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 1982:73-98.

8. Strayer FF. Co-adaptation within the early peer group: A psychobiological study of social competence. In: Schneider BH, Attili G, Nadel J, Weissberg R, eds. *Social competence in developmental perspective*. New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 1989:145-174.
9. Rubin KH, Watson KS, Jambor TW. Free play behaviors in preschool and kindergarten children. *Child Development* 1978;49(2):534-536.
10. Howes C. Peer interaction of young children. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development* 1988;53(1).
11. Lafrenière PJ, Strayer FF, Gauthier R. The emergence of same-sex affiliative preferences among preschool peers: A developmental/ethological perspective. *Child Development* 1984;55(5):1958-1965.
12. Maccoby EE. *The two sexes: Growing up apart, coming together*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1998.
13. Strayer FF, Santos AJ. Affiliative structures in preschool peer groups. *Social Development* 1996;5(2):117-130.
14. Ellis S, Rogoff B, Cromer CC. Age segregation in children's social interactions. *Developmental Psychology* 1981;17(4):399-407.
15. Ladd GW, Golter BS. Parents' management of preschooler's peer relations: Is it related to children's social competence? *Developmental Psychology* 1988;24(1):109-117.
16. Boivin M, Bégin G. Temporal reliability and validity of three sociometric status assessments with young children. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science* 1986;18(2):167-172.
17. Boivin M, Tessier O, Strayer FF. La cohérence des choix sociométriques et l'évaluation de l'amitié chez les enfants d'âge pré-scolaire. *Enfance* 1985;329-343. id. 1760.
18. Boivin M, Hymel S. Peer experiences and social self-perceptions: A sequential model. *Developmental Psychology* 1997;33(1):135-145.
19. Boivin M, Hymel S, Hodges EVE. Toward a process view of peer rejection and harassment. In: Juvonen J, Graham S, eds. *Peer harassment in school: The plight of the vulnerable and victimized*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2001:265-289.
20. Olweus D. *Bullying at school: What we know and what we can do*. Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers; 1993.
21. Alsaker FD, Valkanover S. Early diagnosis and prevention of victimization in kindergarten. In: Juvonen J, Graham S, eds. *Peer harassment in school: The plight of the vulnerable and victimized*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2001:175-195.
22. Crick NR, Casas JF, Ku HC. Relational and physical forms of peer victimization in preschool. *Developmental Psychology* 1999;35(2):376-385.
23. Kochenderfer BJ, Ladd GW. Peer victimization: Cause or consequence of school maladjustment? *Child Development* 1996;67(4):1305-1317.
24. Landau S, Moore LA. Social skill deficits in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *School Psychology Review* 1991;20(2):235-251.
25. Newcomb AF, Bukowski WM, Pattee L. Children's peer relations: A meta-analytic review of popular, rejected, neglected, controversial, and average sociometric status. *Psychological Bulletin* 1993;113(1):99-128.
26. Coie JD, Kupersmidt JB. A behavioral analysis of emerging social status in boys' groups. *Child Development* 1983;54(6):1400-1416.
27. Dodge KA. Behavioral antecedents of peer social status. *Child Development* 1983;54(6):1386-1399.
28. Rodkin PC, Farmer TW, Pearl R, Van Acker R. Heterogeneity of popular boys: Antisocial and prosocial configurations. *Developmental Psychology* 2000;36(1):14-24.
29. Boivin M, Dodge KA, Coie JD. Individual-group behavioral similarity and peer status in experimental play groups of boys: The social misfit revisited. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 1995;69(2):269-279.

30. Dodge KA, Coie JD, Pettit GS, Price JM. Peer status and aggression in boys' groups: Developmental and contextual analyses. *Child Development* 1990;61(5):1289-1309.
31. Hawley PH. The ontogenesis of social dominance: A strategy-based evolutionary perspective. *Developmental Review* 1999;19(1):97-132.
32. Farver JAM. Aggressive behavior in preschoolers' social networks: Do birds of a feather flock together? *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 1996;11(3):333-350.
33. Snyder J, Horsch E, Childs J. Peer relationships of young children: Affiliative choices and the shaping of aggressive behavior. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology* 1997;26(2):145-156.
34. Boivin M, Vitaro F. The impact of peer relationships on aggression in childhood: Inhibition through coercion or promotion through peer support. In: McCord J, ed. *Coercion and punishment in long-term perspectives*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 1995:183-197.
35. Poulin F, Boivin M. The role of proactive and reactive aggression in the formation and development of boys' friendships. *Developmental Psychology* 2000;36(2):233-240.
36. Boivin M, Hymel S, Bukowski WM. The roles of social withdrawal, peer rejection, and victimization by peers in predicting loneliness and depressed mood in childhood. *Development and Psychopathology* 1995;7(4):765-785.
37. Younger AJ, Boyko KA. Aggression and withdrawal as social schemas underlying children's peer perceptions. *Child Development* 1987;58(4):1094-1100.
38. McDougall P, Hymel S, Vaillancourt T, Mercer L. The consequences of childhood peer rejection. In: Leary MR, ed. *Interpersonal rejection*. London, England: Oxford University Press; 2001:213-247.
39. Parker JG, Asher SR. Peer relations and later personal adjustment: Are low-accepted children at risk? *Psychological Bulletin* 1987;102(3):357-389.
40. Rigby K. Peer victimisation at school and the health of secondary school students. *British Journal of Educational Psychology* 1999;69(1):95-104.
41. Kochenderfer-Ladd B, Ladd GW. Variations in peer victimization: Relations to children's maladjustment. In: Juvonen J, Graham S, eds. *Peer harassment in school: The plight of the vulnerable and victimized*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2001:25-48.
42. Dodge KA, Lansford JE, Burks VS, Bates JE, Pettit GS, Fontaine R, Price JM. Peer rejection and social information-processing factors in the development of aggressive behavior problems in children. *Child Development* 2003;74(2):374-393.
43. Patterson GR, Littman RA, Bricker W. Assertive behavior in children: a step toward a theory of aggression. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development* 1967;32(5):1-43.
44. Dishion TJ, Patterson GR, Griesler PC. Peer adaptations in the development of antisocial behavior: A confluence model. In: Huesmann LR, ed. *Aggressive behavior: Current perspectives*. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1994:61-95.
45. Belsky J. Quantity counts: Amount of child care and children's socioemotional development. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics* 2002;23(3):167-170.
46. Fabes RA, Hanish LD, Martin CL. Children at play: The role of peers in understanding the effects of child care. *Child Development* 2003;74(4):1039-1043.
47. Hodges EVE, Boivin M, Vitaro F, Bukowski WM. The power of friendship: Protection against an escalating cycle of peer victimization. *Developmental Psychology* 1999;35(1):94-101.