

## PLAY-BASED LEARNING

---

# Digital Play

Susan Edwards, PhD

Learning Sciences Institute Australia, Australian Catholic University, Australia

February 2018

### Introduction

Young children growing up in the 21<sup>st</sup> century are known to be active users of technologies. Technology use by young children has introduced a new concept into early childhood education and care - that of digital play.

### Subject

The concept of digital play is related to the emergence of the digital age as a cultural context for young children's growth and development in the 21st century. Technically, the digital age commenced with the invention of the transistor in 1956.<sup>1</sup> The transistor enabled innovations in micro-processing. Micro-processors are the small chips that process and store information in digital form. Micro-processors are employed in many different technologies including those commonly accessed by young children (e.g., mobile devices and internet-enabled toys).

Researchers now consider the use of such technologies by young children as 'domesticated'.<sup>2</sup> The domestication of technologies provides new opportunities for children's play. These opportunities facilitate children's interactions with digital technologies in a way that was not possible in previous generations prior to the digitization of information via micro-processing.

### Problems

The available literature on digital play expresses a common problem. That is how should digital play be defined for the digital age when definitions of play from the preceding industrial age have not yet been agreed upon? Multiple theories and perspectives (e.g., romantic, psychoanalytic, constructivist and socio-cultural) on play have been generated for many years.<sup>3</sup> These viewpoints have engendered specific debate regarding the exact nature and purpose of play. For example, why do children play? What is the relationship between play and learning? Is play universal or a culturally defined activity? Research in early childhood education typically defines play as a contested concept, and therefore in well-designed studies a stated theoretical perspective on play is usually provided.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, play is frequently understood as open to interpretation. This openness now extends to a new body of work seeking to develop the concept of digital play.

## **Research**

Early research into young children's technology use did not focus on the concept of digital play. Instead, research considered the influence of technology use on children's learning and developmental outcomes.<sup>5</sup> This research reached a peak in the 1990s and early 2000s as desktop and laptop computing became mainstream. The research was characterized by two main positions. Some researchers believed that technologies were inappropriate for young children because they displaced children's engagement with hands-on activities and real world experiences.<sup>6</sup> Others argued that technologies, such as robotics and the use of open-ended software facilitated young children's cognitive development and problem solving capacities.<sup>7,8</sup> This debate continued for some time, fading in emphasis following the advent of mobile internet-enabled touchscreen technologies (most notably the iPad in 2010).

These technologies released users from a reliance on the mouse and keyboard as input devices and proved particularly user friendly for very young children.<sup>9</sup> Stephen and Edwards<sup>10</sup> describe the influence of Alan Kay<sup>11</sup> in predicting a Dynamic Book as a learning resource for young children based on his reading of key early childhood thinkers, including Montessori, Piaget, Bruner and Vygotsky as a fore-runner to the range of touchscreen technologies enjoyed by children today. Technological mobility also informed new social and communication practices such that children were typically surrounded by adult users of technologies, and themselves had ready access to technological devices at any time or place for play.<sup>12,13</sup> Technology use, and therefore opportunities for digital play were no longer restricted to the home or early learning setting.<sup>14,15</sup> International research showed a rapid increase in the number of children aged birth to eight years using technologies on a daily basis.<sup>16,17,18</sup> This include a noted increase in young children's access

to, and use of, online digital media.<sup>19</sup> With more and more children using technologies in their daily lives, scholarly papers and research in the field of early childhood education and care began to focus on the concept of digital play.<sup>20</sup>

Current research in early childhood education and care conceptualizes digital play in two main ways. The first of these attends to the theorization of digital play. Much of this work adopts variations of existing play scholarship and applies these to observations of children's play with technologies. Some of the earliest thinking was that of Johnson and Christie<sup>21</sup> who described digital play as a social and open-ended activity with technologies. Verenkina and Kervin<sup>22</sup> were amongst the first to define digital play with touchscreen technologies as a self-initiated and self-regulated activity using apps. Fleer<sup>23</sup> defined digital play as the application of Vygotsky's ideas regarding imagination to young children's engagements with technologies. Bird and Edwards<sup>24</sup> created a Digital Play Framework integrating Corrine Hutt's thinking regarding epistemic and ludic play with the Vygotskian idea of tool mediation. Marsh, Plowman, Yamada-Rice, Bishop and Scott<sup>25</sup> generated a typology of play following the thinking of Bob Hughes, while Arnott<sup>26</sup> developed a Digital Play System based on the ecological thinking of Bronfenbrenner.

The second direction in digital play research focuses on understanding the relationship between children's traditional play activities and their engagement with digital technologies. This research highlights the impossibility of separating children's traditional play from their engagement with technologies in the digital age. Marsh<sup>27</sup> commenced the discussion by noting a continuum of digital to non-digital play activities engaged by children. Plowman, McPake and Stephen<sup>28</sup> noted a blurring boundary between digital and traditional play activities by young children. O'Mara and Laidlaw<sup>29</sup> illustrated how digital and traditional role play was seamlessly enacted by children using analogue dolls and an iPad. Edwards<sup>30</sup> proposed the notion of web-mapping as means of understanding the integrative nature of traditional, technological and digital media activities for very young children. Other researchers highlight new forms of play activity by young children in which the direction of play from a technological to traditional direction and vice-versa can no longer be identified.<sup>31</sup>

## **Key Research Questions**

A significant problem for the early childhood education and care sector is how parents, services and policy are likely to understand the concept of digital play in the digital age. This is an issue because play is frequently promoted to parents as beneficial for children's learning. It is also

typically used as a basis for curriculum provision in early childhood education and care settings internationally. However concerns regarding the displacement of physical activity, social interactions and sleep with digital activities means that adults are not always clear on how best to manage and provide for young children's digital play.<sup>32,33</sup> How, where and why young children participate in digital play is therefore raising new research questions. For example:

1. To what extent does digital play build young children's early science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) concepts and capabilities?
2. How should parents and educators balance digital play with young children's requirement for active, outdoor physical activity?
3. What does digital play look like in an early childhood education and care setting?
4. Does digital play differ across social, gendered, cultural and economic contexts according to young children's access to technologies?

## **Research Gaps**

Digital play means that young children are active users of technologies and digital media content. The range of digital play activities afforded to young children growing up in the digital age also involves their online participation. Many toys are now internet-enabled and collect data about children's play and private lives.<sup>34</sup> Toddlers and preschoolers independently access online content through video-sharing channels.<sup>35</sup> The Internet of Things also extends to internet-enabled toys for children's play.<sup>36</sup> These activities can expose children to online risks broadly defined as content, conduct and contact based.<sup>37</sup> Increasingly, there are calls for digital citizenship education to commence in early childhood (e.g., Children's Commissioner for England;<sup>38</sup> NAEYC and the Fred Rogers Centre for Early Learning and Children's Media<sup>39</sup>). Digital citizenship education itself is a conflated concept involving variations of cyber-safety, information literacy, cyber-bullying, online security and management of digital reputation. Significant research gaps exist in identifying what very young children understand about digital play, technologies and the internet as the foundational knowledge base for their digital citizenship education (e.g., Edwards et al;<sup>40</sup> Heider<sup>41</sup>). Further research is required to establish how young children's digital play can be facilitated in early learning settings to build their knowledge of technologies and the internet for effective digital citizenship.

## **Conclusion**

Digital play is a new concept in early childhood education and care related to the emergence of the digital age. Young children today are growing up in a new cultural context in which the evolution of technologies (beginning with the invention of the transistor) has created new opportunities for play. Research is currently directed towards theorizing digital play and understanding the convergence of traditional play with technological activity as a form of digital play. These new understandings of play are required by the sector as parents, services and policy-makers increasingly engage with young children growing up with technologies as domesticated aspect of their lives.

### **Implications for Parents, Services and Policy**

Digital play is not going to go away. Parents, services and policy cannot ignore that digital play is a facet of the digital age in which young children are growing up. Thinking about digital play has several implications for parents, service and policy. These are:

1. Promoting digital play as an opportunity for building concepts and skills about STEM
2. Building young children's capacity to moderate digital play with opportunities for active, physical outdoor activity
3. Fostering understandings of what digital play looks like in early childhood education settings
4. Ensuring young children have equal and equitable opportunities to participate in digital play
5. Developing age appropriate digital citizenship education for young children

### **References**

1. Riordan M, Hoddeson L, Herring C. The invention of the transistor. *Reviews of Modern Physics*. 1999;71(2):S336.
2. Green L, Holloway D. 0-8: Very young children and the domestication of touchscreen technologies in Australia. In: Bossio D, ed. *Proceedings of the Australian and New Zealand Communication Association annual conference*. Victoria: Swinburne University; 2014.
3. Bergen D. Foundations of play theory. In: Brooker E, Blaise M, Edwards S, eds. *The SAGE handbook of play and learning in early childhood*. London: SAGE; 2014:9-20.
4. Brooker E, Blaise M, Edwards S. Introduction. In: Brooker E, Blaise M, Edwards S, eds. *The SAGE handbook of play and learning in early childhood*. London: SAGE; 2014:1-4.
5. Goldstein J. Technology and play. In: Nathan P, Pellegrini AD, eds. *The Oxford Handbook of the Development of Play*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011:322-340.
6. Cordes C, Miller E. *Fool's gold: a critical look at computers in childhood*. College Park, MD: Alliance for Childhood; 2000.
7. Clements DH, Nastasi BK, Swaminathan S. Young children and computers: crossroads and directions from research. *Young Children*. 1993;48(2):56-64.

8. Shade DD. Developmentally appropriate software. *Early Childhood Education Journal*. 1991;18(4):34-36.
9. Geist EA. A qualitative examination of two year-olds interaction with tablet based interactive technology. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*. 2012;39(1):26-35.
10. Stephen C, Edwards S. *Playing and learning in the digital age: a cultural and critical perspective*. London: Routledge; 2018.
11. Kay AC. A personal computer for children of all ages. In: *Proceedings of the ACM annual conference*. Volume 1. ACM; 1972:1-11.
12. Nansen B. Accidental, assisted, automated: An emerging repertoire of infant mobile media techniques. *M/C Journal*. 2015;18(5).
13. Plowman L. Rethinking context: digital technologies and children's everyday lives. *Children's Geographies*. 2015;14(2):190-202.
14. Huh YJ. Rethinking young children's digital game play outside of the home as a means of coping with modern life. *Early Child Development and Care*. 2017;187(5-6):1042-1054.
15. Kabali HK, Irigoyen MM, Nunez-Davis R, et al. Exposure and use of mobile media devices by young children. *Pediatrics*. 2015;136(6):1044-1050.
16. Chaudron S. *Young Children (0-8) and digital technology: a qualitative exploratory study across seven countries*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2015.
17. Common Sense Media. *Zero to eight: children's media use in America 2013*. San Francisco, CA: Common Sense Media; 2013.
18. Vanderwater EA, Rideout VJ, Wartella EA, Huang X, Lee JH, Shim M. Digital childhood: electronic media and technology use among infants, toddlers, and preschoolers. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(5):1006-1015.
19. Holloway D, Green L, Livingstone S. *Zero to eight: young children and their internet use*. LSE, London: EU Kids Online; 2013.
20. Stephen C, Plowman L. Digital Play. In: Brooker L, Blaise M, Edwards S, eds. *The SAGE handbook of play and learning in early childhood*. London: SAGE; 2014:330-341.
21. Johnson J, Christie J. Play and digital media. *Computers in the schools: Interdisciplinary Journal of Practice, Theory, and Applied Research*. 2009;26(4):284-289.
22. Verenikina I, Kervin L. iPads, digital play and preschoolers. *He Kupu*. 2011;2(5):4-19.
23. Fler M. The demands and motives afforded through digital play in early childhood activity settings. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*. 2014;3(3):202-209.
24. Bird J, Edwards S. Children learning to use technologies through play: A Digital Play Framework. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 2015;46(6):1149-1160.
25. Marsh J, Plowman L, Yamada-Rice D, Bishop J, Scott F. Digital play: a new classification. *Early Years*. 2016;36(3):242-253.
26. Arnott L. An ecological exploration of young children's digital play: framing young children's social experiences with technologies in early childhood. *Early Years*. 2016;36(3):271-288.
27. Marsh J. Young children's play in online virtual worlds. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*. 2010;8(1):23-39.
28. Plowman L, McPake J, Stephen C. Just picking it up?: Young children learning with technology at home. *Cambridge Journal of Education*. 2008;38(3):303-319.
29. O'Mara J, Laidlaw L. Living in the iworld: Two literacy researchers reflect on the changing texts and literacy practices of childhood. *English Teaching: Practice & Critique*. 2011;10(4):149-159.
30. Edwards S. New concepts of play and the problem of technology, digital media and popular-culture integration with play-based learning in early childhood education. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*. 2015;25(4):513-532.

31. Kervin L, Verenikina I. Children at play: Digital resources in home and school contexts. In: Goodliff G, Canning N, Parry J, Miller L, eds. *Young children's play and creativity: Multiple voices*. London: Taylor and Francis; 2018:99-112.
32. Radesky JS, Schumacher J, Zuckerman B. Mobile and interactive media use by young children: the good, the bad, and the unknown. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(1):1-3.
33. Slutsky R, DeShetler LM. How technology is transforming the ways in which children play. *Early Child Development and Care*. 2017;187(7):1138-1146.
34. Manches A, Duncan P, Plowman L, Sabeti S. Three questions about the Internet of things and children. *TechTrends*. 2015;59(1):76-83.
35. Marsh J, Mascheroni G, Carrington V, Árnadóttir H, Brito R, Dias R, Kupiainen R, Trueltzsch-Wijnen C. *The Online and Offline Digital Literacy Practices of Young Children: A review of the literature*. COST ACTION IS1410 DigiLitEY; 2017.
36. Chaudron S, Di Gioia R, Gemo M, et al. *Kaleidoscope on the Internet of Toys - Safety, security, privacy and societal insights*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2017.
37. Livingstone S, Mascheroni G, Staksrud E. European research on children's internet use: Assessing the past and anticipating the future. *New Media and Society*. 2017. doi:10.1177/1461444816685930.
38. Children's Commissioner for England. Growing up digital. A report of the growing up digital taskforce. London; 2017.
39. NAEYC and the Fred Rogers Centre for Early Learning and Children's Media. Technology and interactive media as tools in early childhood programs serving children from birth through age 8. Washington; 2012.
40. Edwards S, Nolan A, Henderson M, Mantilla A, Plowman L, Skouteris H. 2018. Young children's everyday concepts of the internet. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 2016;49(1):45-55.
41. Heider KL. Cybersafety in early childhood: what parents and educators need to know. In: Heider KL, Jalongo MR, eds. *Young children and families in the information age*. Dorchedt, Netherlands: Springer; 2015:277-292.