

## **SCHOOL SUCCESS**

# **Preschool Education and School Completion**

Suh-Ruu Ou, PhD, Arthur J. Reynolds, PhD

Institute of Child Development, University of Minnesota-Twin Cities, USA September 2020, Éd. rév.

#### Introduction

From the "nursery school" movement of the 1920s to the intensive interventions of the early 1960s, preschool education in the United States has existed for a century. The contemporary goal of preschool education is to enhance children's school readiness skills and healthy development more broadly. This is particularly important for children growing up in poverty or having special needs so they can begin kindergarten with their peers fully ready to succeed.<sup>1</sup> This was the key rationale of the federally sponsored Head Start program in 1965, and for many other preschool programs opened by states and school districts in the years and decades that followed.

The percentage of the nation's three- and four-year-olds enrolled in public or private school-based preschool has increased from 9.5% in 1964 to 53.8% in 2017, a nearly 6-fold increase.<sup>2</sup> Considering all forms of non-parental education and care, 75% of young children not yet in kindergarten currently participate in preschool.<sup>3</sup> In parallel fashion to these societal shifts, the importance of documenting and understanding the effects of preschool has also increased substantially.

Findings over the past five decades have indicated that preschool programs can enhance children's cognitive skills, literacy, numeracy, and social skills necessary for school success, as well as promote school achievement in the elementary grades, reduce the need for special education and grade retention, reduce the risk of delinquency, and increase levels of educational attainment.<sup>4-11</sup> Among these outcomes, educational attainment is particularly important, given its link to economic self-sufficiency and positive health behaviours.<sup>12,13</sup> This review will focus on the connection between preschool participation and measures of school completion in the published literature.

#### Subject

For this review, preschool programs are defined broadly as the provision of center-based educational and family services to children at ages three or four. Programs are increasingly universally available with a full-day schedule, though federal as well as state and local communities prioritize children residing in low-income families or who have special needs.<sup>1.3</sup> Given the timing and breadth of services, preschool programs are designed to promote healthy development but also may be regarded as preventive in reducing the risk of underachievement.<sup>1</sup> Programs that begin at birth are included if services are also provided for three- and four-yearolds. Programs in kindergarten and from birth to age three are excluded (see Gomby, Culross, and Behrman<sup>14</sup> and Sweet and Appelbaum,<sup>15</sup> for reviews of the latter).

Preschool programs have been found to be associated with higher IQ scores, better school achievement, lower rates of grade retention and special education placement, and lower rates of delinquency and adult criminal activities.<sup>6,8,11,16-19</sup> These outcomes are known predictors of school completion.<sup>20-23</sup> Preschool programs of high quality are likely to have effects on school completion through the effects on these and other predictors.

#### **Review Findings**

Results from several model programs have shown the positive effects of preschool participation on school completion and years of education.<sup>7,24:27</sup> Although diverse in approaches, these programs are high in quality, are intensive in focus, and provide family services. Participants in the HighScope Perry Preschool Program were found to have higher rates of high-school graduation (71% vs. 54% at age 27; 77% vs. 60% at age 40).<sup>19,28</sup> Participation in the Abecedarian Project was found to be associated with a higher rate of attending four-year college (36% vs. 14%), more years of education at age 21 and age 30.<sup>29,30</sup> Similar results were found in a large-scale program, the Chicago Child-Parent Centers (CPC).<sup>18,31-33</sup> Participants in the CPC preschool program have higher rates of school completion (65.8% vs. 54.2% at age 22; 86.9% vs. 80.7% at age 35) and more years of education. However, Head Start, the largest preschool program in the U.S., has had mixed results.<sup>34</sup> Some studies found effects on high school completion<sup>34-37</sup> and college attendance.<sup>36</sup> However, other studies found no long-term effects.<sup>38-40</sup> Only a few studies of contemporary state prekindergarten programs have reported enduring effects into middle school<sup>41-43</sup> and beyond.<sup>44</sup> For example, Michigan's Great Start Readiness Program (GSRP) found effects on high school graduation on time (57% vs. 43% at age 19).<sup>44</sup> Table 1 displays a summary of these findings.

To sum up, the effects of preschool programs on school completion were examined in some published studies. Examples of those programs include the HighScope Perry Preschool Program, the Abecedarian Project, Curriculum Comparison Study, Consortium for Longitudinal Studies (including Perry Preschool, Early Training Project, the Philadelphia project, and Karnes, Shwedel and Williams's project), Chicago Child-Parent Center Program, Head Start studies in several locations, and Michigan's Great Start Readiness Program. The latter is a state-funded Pre-K program.

#### **Problems and Research Context**

Although many studies showed the link between preschool programs and school achievement, few studies examined school completion as outcomes due to the availability of longitudinal data. Moreover, most of the studies examined model programs, thus the sample sizes were small, usually less than 150. In addition to the need for more evidence to support the association between preschool programs and school completion, researchers have also recognized the importance of understanding the mechanisms of long-term effects of preschool programs.<sup>16,45,46</sup> That is, how do preschool programs lead to positive outcomes over time? Recently, studies have been conducted to examine the mechanisms of the link between preschool participation and school completion.<sup>16,30,47,49</sup> Two major issues warrant further attention. First, more studies of large-scale programs are needed, especially for universal programs enrolling children across all socioeconomic strata. Current evidence is encouraging, however.<sup>43,50</sup> Moreover, greater understanding of how preschool participation can lead to higher levels of school completion is crucial to identify the most important program elements and the school and family experiences that are necessary for lasting effects.

#### **Key Research Questions**

Beyond the findings on the effects of preschool programs on school achievement, the linkage between preschool programs and school completion has gained more attention, because school completion is ultimately linked to people's economic and social well-being and can reduce the need for remedial education and social welfare services.<sup>51-55</sup> How preschool programs are associated with school completion is addressed in the next section.

#### **Recent Research Results**

The theory behind preschool programs has been discussed and tested in some studies.<sup>16,19,27,30,47,48,56-59</sup> Many hypotheses explaining the long-term effects of preschool have been proposed. These are summarized and explicated in the Five-Hypothesis Model of Intervention Effects (5HM<sup>48,57</sup>; see Figure 1). The two major hypotheses investigated most in the studies are the cognitive advantage hypothesis and the family support hypothesis.<sup>49</sup>

According to the cognitive advantage hypothesis, the positive effects of preschool on cognitive development at school entry launch children into positive scholastic development and commitment that facilitate improved developmental outcomes in adolescence and beyond. As a central rationale behind preschool programs, the cognitive advantage hypothesis has consistent research support. Among the developed abilities to be nurtured from this perspective are language and literacy skills, knowledge of quantitative concepts, oral communication, school readiness and general cognitive skills. Of course, achievement motivation, attitudes and interests also contribute to cognitive and scholastic development.

The family support hypothesis indicates that long-term effects of interventions will occur to the extent that program participation enhances family functioning and parenting practices. Increased parent involvement, for example, may strengthen home support for children's learning by motivating higher aspirations for children's educational performance and increasing the quality of interactions and activities that occur (e.g., reading to and with children, going to the library). Participation in preschool programs may also promote the family and school stability through increasing interaction between parents and teachers. According to Bronfenbrenner,<sup>62</sup> long-term effects of preschool are more likely if the home environment, children's major early learning context, is strengthened. Preschool programs are time-limited, but family experiences persist.

The cognitive advantage and family support hypotheses suggest that strengthening literacy, language and cognitive skills, as well as parent involvement in children's lives, are important goals of preschool programs. Activities and curricula to support these goals can contribute to positive long-term effects on school completion and other outcomes.

Three other hypotheses have also been investigated to explain the long-term effects of preschool education. The contribution of the school and community support hypothesis has been demonstrated in several studies.<sup>38,47,57,63</sup> This hypothesis predicts that the program will increase the probability of children's attendance in effective schools and reduce the probability of school mobility, both of which are positively associated with educational attainment.<sup>64</sup> The two remaining hypotheses, motivational advantage and socio-emotional adjustment, also have been found to contribute to educational success and attainment across studies,<sup>57,65,66</sup> but further assessment of their contributions and generalizability are needed. They, along with the other three hypotheses, influence occupational, social behavior, and health outcomes in adulthood through educational attainment.<sup>48,66</sup>

The five hypotheses together have demonstrated across studies to have the best comparative fit in accounting for long-term effects on educational attainment and related indicators of well-being. <sup>65,66</sup> Nevertheless, additional studies of 5HM are needed. The complete set of processes expected to be impacted from early childhood to adulthood well-being is shown in Figure 1.

### **Conclusions and Implications**

In summary, preschool programs are embedded in a broader context of family, community and school processes.<sup>59</sup> The effects of preschools will be more likely to persist if learning gains are reinforced and supported by family and school experiences after the end of program participation.

The findings of this review suggest various implications for social policy. Preschool programs for children at risk can lead to higher levels of school completion. The long-term effects have been explained, in part, by the cognitive advantage, family support and school support hypotheses of intervention effects. High-quality preschool programs should be promoted. Research indicates that the lasting effects of preschool programs on educational attainment can benefit the participants and society, with outcomes that include higher projected lifetime earnings, savings from less special education placement, and savings from reduced involvement in the criminal justice system.<sup>12,51,54,68-72</sup>

Findings from the present study, in conjunction with other studies, suggest some future directions. More studies are needed to examine long-term effects of preschool programs on school completion and higher educational attainment, such as college attendance. In particular, studies of large-scale programs, such as Head Start and state-funded preschools, are needed. Finally, greater understanding of the mechanisms of long-term effects is needed across and wide range of programs.

#### Table 1. Summary Information on Selected Studies

Table 1. Summary Information on Selected Studies

Image not found or type unknown

## Figure 1. Five Hypothesis Model of Early Childhood Program Effects to Adult Well-Being

Figure 1. Five Hypothesis Model of Early Childhood Program Effects to Adult Well-Being

Image not found or type unknown

#### References

- 1. Reynolds AJ, Temple JA, eds. *Sustaining early childhood learning gains : program, school, and family influences.* New York, NY: Cambridge University Press 2019.
- 2. U. S. Department of Education. *Digest of Education Statistics: 2018*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics, U. S. Department of Education.;2019. Table 103.20. Report no. NCES-2020-009.
- 3. U. S. Department of Education. *Digest of Education Statistics: 2018*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics, U. S. Department of Education;2019. Table 202.40. Report no. NCES-2020-009.
- 4. Barnett WS. Long-term effects of early childhood programs on cognitive and school outcomes. In: Barnett WS, Boocock SS, eds. *Early care and education for children in poverty : promises, programs, and long-term results.* Albany, NY: Albany, NY: State University of New York Press; 1998:11-44.

- 5. Bryant D, Maxwell K. The effectiveness of early intervention for disadvantaged children. In: Guralnick M, ed. The effectiveness of early intervention. Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing; 1997:23-46.
- 6. Camilli G, Vargas S, Ryan S, Barnett WS. Meta-analysis of the effects of early education interventions on cognitive and social development. *Teachers College Record.* 2010;112(3):579-620.
- 7. Consortium for Longitudinal Studies. As the twig is bent--lasting effects of preschool programs. Hillsdale, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates; 1983.
- 8. McCoy DC, Yoshikawa H, Ziol-Guest KM, Duncan GJ, Schindler HS, Magnuson K, Yang R, Koepp A, Shonkoff JP. Impacts of early childhood education on medium- and long-term educational outcomes. *Educational Researcher*. 2017;46(8):474-487.
- 9. Yoshikawa H. Long-term effects of early childhood programs on social outcomes and delinquency. *The Future of Children*. 1995:51-75.
- Yoshikawa H, Weiland C, Brooks-Gunn J, Burchinal M, Espinosa L, Gormley WT, Ludwig J, Magnuson K, Phillips D, Zaslow M. Investing in our future: The evidence base on preschool education. Washington, D.C.; Society for Research in Child Development: 2013.
- 11. Cannon JS, Kilburn MR, Karoly LA, Mattox T, Muchow AN, Buenaventura M. *Investing early: Taking stock of outcomes and economic returns from early childhood programs*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation; 2017.
- 12. Duncan GJ, Ludwig J, Magnuson KA. Reducing poverty through preschool interventions. *The Future of Children*. 2007;17(2):143-160.
- 13. Muennig P. Can universal pre-kindergarten programs improve population health and longevity? Mechanisms, evidence, and policy implications. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2015;127:116-123.
- 14. Gomby D, Culross P, Behrman R. Home visiting: Recent program evaluations Analysis and recommendations. *The Future* of Children. 1999;9(1):4-26.
- 15. Sweet M, Appelbaum M. Is home visiting an effective strategy? A meta-analytic review of home visiting programs for families with young children. *Child Development*. 2004;75(5):1435-1456.
- 16. Barnett WS, Young JW, Schweinhart LJ. How preschool education influences long-term cognitive development and school success: A causal model. In: Barnett WS, Boocock SS, eds. *Early care and education for children in poverty : promises, programs, and long-term results.* Albany, NY: Albany, NY: State University of New York Press; 1998:167-184.
- 17. Ou S, Arteaga I, Reynolds A. Dosage effects in the child-parent center PreK-to-3rd grade program: A Re-analysis in the Chicago longitudinal study. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2019;101:285-298.
- Reynolds AJ, Temple JA, Robertson DL, Mann EA. Long-term effects of an early childhood intervention on educational achievement and juvenile arrest: A 15-year follow-up of low-income children in public schools. *JAMA*. 2001;285(18):2339-2346.
- 19. Schweinhart LJ, Barnes HV, Weikart DP. *Significant benefits : the High-Scope Perry preschool study through age 27.* Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press; 1993.
- 20. Alexander KL, Entwisle DR, Kabbani NS. The dropout process in life course perspective: Early risk factors at home and school. *Teachers College Record*. 2001;103(5):760-822.
- 21. Cairns RB, Cairns BD, Neckerman HJ. Early school dropout: Configurations and determinants. *Child Development*. 1989;60(6):1437-1452.
- 22. Ou S, Reynolds A. Predictors of educational attainment in the Chicago Longitudinal Study. *School Psychology Quarterly*. 2008;23(2):199-229.
- 23. Rumberger RW, Lim SA. *Why students drop out of school: A review of 25 years of research.* Santa Barbara, CA: California Dropout Research Project Report; 2008.

- 24. Campbell FA, Helms R, Sparling JJ, Ramey CT. Early-childhood programs and success in school: The Abecedarian study. In: Barnett WS, Boocock SS, eds. *Early care and education for children in poverty : promises, programs, and long-term results.* Albany, NY: Albany, NY: State University of New York Press; 1998:145-166.
- 25. Campbell FA, Ramey CT, Pungello E, Sparling J, Miller-Johnson S. Early childhood education: Young adult outcomes from the Abecedarian Project. *Applied Developmental Science*. 2002;6(1):42-57.
- 26. Ramey CT, Campbell FA, Burchinal M, Skinner ML, Gardner DM, Ramey SL. Persistent effects of early childhood education on high-risk children and their mothers. *Applied Developmental Science*. 2000;4(1):2-14.
- 27. Schweinhart LJ, Weikart DP. Lasting differences : the High/Scope Preschool Curriculum Comparison Study through age 23. Ypsilanti, Mich.: High/Scope Press; 1997.
- 28. Schweinhart LJ, Montie J, Xiang Z, Barnett WS, Belfield CR, Nores M. *Lifetime effects : the High/Scope Perry preschool study through age 40*. Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press; 2005.
- Campbell FA, Pungello EP, Burchinal M, Kainz K, Pan Y, Wasik BH, Barbarin OA, Sparling JJ, Ramey CT. Adult outcomes as a function of an early childhood educational program: an Abecedarian Project follow-up. *Developmental Psychology*. 2012;48(4):1033-1043.
- 30. Campbell FA, Pungello EP, Miller-Johnson S, Burchinal M, Ramey CT. The development of cognitive and academic abilities: Growth curves from an early childhood educational experiment. *Developmental Psychology*. 2001;37(2):231-242.
- 31. Ou S-R, Reynolds AJ. Early childhood intervention and educational attainment: Age 22 findings from the Chicago Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk (JESPAR)*. 2006;11(2):175-198.
- 32. Reynolds AJ, Ou S, Temple JA. A multicomponent, preschool to third grade preventive intervention and educational attainment at 35 years of age. *JAMA Pediatrics.* 2018;172(3):247-256.
- Reynolds AJ, Temple JA, Ou S-R, Robertson DL, Mersky JP, Topitzes JW, Niles MD. Effects of a school-based, early childhood intervention on adult health and well-being: A 19-year follow-up of low-income families. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*. 2007;161(8):730-739.
- 34. Oden S, Schweinhart LJ, Weikart DP. *Into adulthood : a study of the effects of Head Start*. Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press; 2000.
- 35. Deming D. Early childhood intervention and life-cycle skill development: Evidence from Head Start. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics.* 2009;1(3):111-134.
- 36. Garces E, Thomas D, Currie J. Longer-term effects of Head Start. American Economic Review. 2002;92(4):999-1012.
- 37. Ludwig J, Miller DL. Does Head Start improve children's life chances? Evidence from a regression discontinuity design. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 2007;122(1):159-208.
- 38. Lee VE, Loeb S. Where do Head Start attendees end up? One reason why preschool effects fade out. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*. 1995;17(1):62-82.
- 39. McKey RH. The Impact of Head Start on Children, Families and Communities. Final Report of the Head Start Evaluation, Synthesis and Utilization Project. 1985.
- Seitz V, Apfel NH, Rosenbaum LK, Zigler E. Long-term effects of projects Head Start and Follow Through: The New Haven Project. In: Consortium for Longitudinal Studies., ed. *As the twig is bent--lasting effects of preschool programs*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1983:299-332.
- 41. Barnett WS, Jung K, Youn M, Frede E. *Abbott preschool program longitudinal effects study: Fifth grade follow-up*. New Brunswick, NJ: National Institute for Early Education Research; 2013.
- 42. Dodge KA, Bai Y, Ladd HF, Muschkin CG. Impact of North Carolina's early childhood programs and policies on educational outcomes in elementary school. *Child Development.* 2017;88(3):996-1014.

- 43. Gormley WT, Phillips D, Anderson S. The Effects of Tulsa's Pre-K Program on Middle School Student Performance. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*. 2018;37(1):63-87.
- Schweinhart LJ, Xiang Z, Daniel-Echols M, Browning K, Wakabayashi T. Michigan Great Start Readiness Program evaluation 2012: High school graduation and grade retention findings. Ypsilanti, MI: HighScope Educational Research Foundation; 2012.
- 45. Berlin LJ, Brooks-Gunn J, McCarton C, McCormick MC. The effectiveness of early intervention: examining risk factors and pathways to enhanced development. *Preventive medicine*. 1998;27(2):238-245.
- 46. Borman G, Hewes G. The long-term effects and cost-effectiveness of success for all. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis.* 2002;24(4):243-266.
- 47. Ou S. Pathways of long-term effects of an early intervention program on educational attainment: Findings from the Chicago longitudinal study. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. 2005;26(5):578-611.
- 48. Reynolds A, Ou S. Paths of effects from preschool to adult well-being: a confirmatory analysis of the child-parent center program. *Child Development*. 2011;82(2):555-582.
- 49. Reynolds A, Ou S, Mondi C, Hayakawa M. Processes of early childhood interventions to adult well-being. *Child Development*. 2017;88(2):378-387.
- 50. Hill CJ, Gormley WT, Adelstein S. Do the short-term effects of a high-quality preschool program persist? *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*. 2015;32(Supplement C):60-79.
- 51. Barnett WS. Benefit-cost analysis of preschool education: Findings from a 25-year follow-up. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*. 1993;63(4):500-508.
- 52. Barnett WS, Masse LN. Comparative benefit-cost analysis of the Abecedarian program and its policy implications. *Economics of Education Review.* 2007;26(1):113-125.
- 53. Nores M, Belfield C, Barnett W, Schweinhart L. Updating the economic impacts of the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis.* 2005;27(3):245-261.
- 54. Reynolds AJ, Temple JA, Robertson DL, Mann EA. Age 21 Cost-Benefit Analysis of the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis.* 2002;24(4):267-303.
- 55. Reynolds A, Temple J, White B, Ou S, Robertson D. Age 26 Cost-Benefit Analysis of the Child-Parent Center Early Education Program. *Child Development*. 2011;82(1):379-404.
- 56. Berrueta-Clement JR, Schweinhart LJ, Barnett WS, Epstein AS, Weikart DP. *Changed lives : the effects of the Perry preschool program on youths through age 19.* Ypsilanti, Mich.: High/Scope Press; 1984.
- 57. Reynolds AJ. *Success in early intervention: the Chicago Child-Parent Centers.* Lincoln, Neb.: University of Nebraska Press; 2000.
- 58. Bailey D, Duncan GJ, Odgers CL, Yu W. Persistence and fadeout in the impacts of child and adolescent interventions. *Journal* of Research on Educational Effectiveness. 2017;10(1):7-39.
- 59. Woodhead M. When psychology informs public policy: The case of early childhood intervention. *American Psychologist*. 1988;43(6):443-454.
- 60. Anastasi A, Urbina S. Psychological testing. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Prentice Hall; 1997.
- 61. Zigler E, berman W. Discerning the future of early-childhood intervention. American Psychologist. 1983;38(8):894-906.
- 62. Bronfenbrenner U. Is early intervention effective? In: Struening EL, Guttentag M, eds. *Handbook of evaluation research*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage; 1975:519-603.
- 63. Currie J, Thomas D. School quality and the longer-term effects of head start. *Journal of Human Resources*. 2000;35(4):755-774.

- 64. Temple JA, Reynolds AJ. School mobility and achievement: Longitudinal findings from an urban cohort. *Journal of School Psychology*. 1999;37(4):355-377.
- 65. Reynolds AJ, Englund M, Ou S, Schweinhart LJ, Campbell FA. Paths of effects of preschool participation to educational attainment at age 21: A 3-study analysis. In: Reynolds AJ, Rolnick AJ, Englund MM, Temple JA, eds. *Childhood programs & practices in the first decade of life: A human capital integration.* New York: NY: Cambridge University Press; 2010:415-452.
- 66. Englund MM, White B, Reynolds AJ, Schweinhart LJ, Campbell FA. Health outcomes of the Abecedarian, Child-Parent Center, and HighScope Perry Preschool programs. In: Reynolds AJ, Rolnick AJ, Temple JA, eds. *Health and education in early childhood: Predictors, interventions, and policies.* New York: NY: Cambridge University Press; 2014:257-292.
- 67. Zigler E, Styfco SJ. Head Start and Beyond: A national plan for extended childhood intervention. New Haven, Conn: Yale University Press; 1993.
- 68. Karoly LA. Investing in the future: Reducing poverty through human capital investments. Focus. 2000;21(2):38-43.
- 69. Karoly LA, Kilburn MR, Bigelow JH, Caulkins JP, Cannon JS. *Assessing costs and benefits of early childhood intervention programs: Overview and application to the starting early starting smart program*. Seattle, WA: Casey Family Programs; Santa Monica: RAND; 2001.
- 70. Heckman JJ. Policies to foster human capital. Research in Economics. 2000;54(1):3-56.
- 71. Masse LN, Barnett WS. A benefit cost analysis of the abecedarian early childhood intervention. New Brunswick, NJ: National Institute for early education research; 2002.
- 72. Reynolds A, Ou S, Mondi C, Giovanelli A. Reducing poverty and inequality through preschool-to-third-grade prevention services. *American Psychologist*. 2019;74(6):653-672.
- 73. Miller LB, Bizzell RP. The Louisville Experiment: A comparison of four programs. In: Consortium for Longitudinal Studies, ed. *As the twig is bent-lasting effects of preschool programs.* Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1983:171-199.
- 74. Royce JM, Darlington RB, Murray HW. Pooled analyses: Findings across studies. In: Consortium for Longitudinal Studies., ed. *As the twig is bent-lasting effects of preschool programs*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1983:411-459.