



Curriculum and Play in Early Child Development

ELENA BODROVA, PhD
DEBORAH J. LEONG, PhD

Mid-continent Research on Education and Learning, USA
Metropolitan State College of Denver, USA

(Published online September 29, 2010)

Topic
Play

Introduction

The need to integrate play into early childhood curriculum has been supported by decades of child development research and is reflected in the most recent documents of such professional organizations as National Association for the Education of Young Children^{1,2} and National Research Council.^{3,4} However, the specific aspects of the relationship between play and curriculum remain open to interpretation which affects the beliefs of Early Childhood practitioners as well as their classroom practices.

Subject

One of the reasons for the existence of many interpretations is the apparent contradiction between the meaning of the words “play” and “curriculum” prevalent both in professional literature and in everyday language used by teachers and parents of young children: the former has been typically thought of as a spontaneous child-initiated activity that does not serve any practical need⁵ while the latter has been associated with intentional teaching designed to accomplish clear instructional objectives.⁶ Furthermore, play is not a singular construct but rather a continuum of playful behaviours that children engage in the context of Early Childhood classrooms, encompassing a set of behaviours that vary in terms of the degree of adult guidance and support.⁷

Research Context and Recent Research Results

Research on play in the context of Early Childhood Education has addressed two aspects of the play-curriculum relationship. One set of researchers look into the use of play elements, play environments, or play motivation as a way to enhance instruction in core subjects such as literacy,^{8,9} mathematics^{10,11} or science,¹² or as a way to promote specific areas of development such as the development of children’s social-emotional competencies,¹³ oral language^{14,15} or gross and fine motor skills,¹⁶ etc. These studies are primarily focused on the respective academic domain or area of development with play viewed as a means to foster child development in these domains. When communicated to Early Childhood educators, results of these studies are translated into practical

suggestions on how to create math- or literacy-rich play environments and on how to incorporate math, science or literacy language into children's play.¹⁷

At the same time, there is a long standing tradition in play research that focuses on play itself in its multiple forms (e.g., social, pretend or object), recognizing it as a distinct child-initiated activity with its own unique contributions to child development. These contributions are associated with the development of broader competencies such as theory of mind,¹⁸ symbolic representation,¹⁹ and self-regulation²⁰ that not only affect child development in early years but have long lasting effect in the school years and beyond. Traditionally, the majority of studies from this perspective have been done in naturalistic settings with children engaged in free play with little or no adult guidance. Recommendations for the curriculum emphasize the provision of adequate physical spaces and props to support play as well as the need to allow ample time for children's free play in the preschool daily schedule and preserve or increase recess time for kindergartners and children in the primary grades.^{21,22}

Key Research Questions and Research Gaps

One of the areas that deserve further investigation is the relationship between the quality of play and children's learning and developmental outcomes. It is becoming clear that not all play is created equal and that when older preschoolers are engaged in the kind of play that is more typical for toddlers they may not acquire the full benefits usually associated with play.^{23,24} Questions remain about what the specific characteristics of "mature" or "fully developed" play are for different ages and what kind of metric or metrics can be used to measure different types/levels of play in different activities. Are the skills learned in block play for example, the same as what is learned from make-believe play? Should these be measured in different ways?

Related to this is the scarcity of research on instructional strategies designed to support play so it will reach its most mature level. The idea that we need to teach young children how to play is not a new one; until recently, however, it has been primarily discussed in terms of enhancing or facilitating play that has already reached a certain level of development²⁵ with explicit play instruction limited to the context of special education.²⁶ While children with language delays or emotional disorders have been long thought to benefit from play interventions,²⁷ typically developing children are usually expected to develop play skills on their own. This approach, while valid in the past, may no longer be sufficient because of dramatic changes in the culture of childhood^{28,29,30,31,32,33} have resulted in a situation where an early childhood classroom may be the only place where many children have the opportunity to learn how to play.³⁴

Another question that remains unanswered is about the latent long-term consequences of children's engagement or non-engagement in play of varying types and quality. While some longitudinal data are available about the effects of play-based and non play-based early childhood programs,³⁵ these studies do not always contain enough specificity about the nature of play in these programs or of the range of levels of play observed across participating children. At the same time, the majority of studies linking play to specific academic or social-emotional competencies focus on short-term outcomes which may

underestimate the importance of play in developing broader range of competencies that may not be fully assessed until later. This becomes especially critical in evaluating the effects of play on developing “surface” vs. “deep level” skills since the former may be more easily affected by non-play interventions which may potentially contribute to replacing play in early childhood curriculum with non-play instructional strategies with a narrow academic focus.

Conclusions and Implications

Most researchers independent of their philosophical orientation seem to agree that including play in early childhood curriculum is a necessary condition for ensuring optimal growth and development of young children. However, the lack of common definition of play makes it hard to provide specific recommendations for curriculum designers and to advocate for preserving play in early childhood classrooms in the face of increasing demands for a focus on academic skills. One way to solve this dilemma is to use more specific terms like “playful learning” to make a distinction between child-initiated play and adult-initiated activities that make use of play elements in one form or another. This may help to avoid confusions that lead to certain curricula to be labeled as “play-based” when in reality they leave no time for children to initiate play on their own. However, the distinction between play and playful learning has to be made clear both in the description of their objectives and the specific pedagogies associated with each of them. In addition, this also calls for more in-depth analysis of how exactly play elements are used in instruction and whether their use is perceived as “playful” by children themselves or only by the teachers.

REFERENCES

1. Bredekamp S, Copple C, eds. *Developmentally appropriate practice in early childhood programs: Serving children from birth through age 8*. 3rd Ed. Washington, DC: NAEYC; 2009.
2. Bowman BT, Donovan MS, & Burns MS, eds. *Eager to learn: Educating our preschoolers*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
3. Cross CT, Woods TA, Schweingruber H, National Research Council, Committee on Early Childhood Mathematics, eds. *Mathematics learning in early childhood: Paths toward excellence and equity*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2009.
4. Elkind D. *The power of play: Learning what comes naturally*. Cambridge, MA: Da Capo Press; 2007.
5. National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). National Association of Early Childhood Specialists in State Departments of Education (NAECS/SDE). *Early childhood curriculum, assessment, and program evaluation: Building an effective, accountable system in programs for children birth through age 8—Joint Position Statement*. Washington, DC: NAEYC; 2003.

6. Bredekamp S, Rosegrant T, eds. *Reaching potentials: transforming early childhood curriculum and assessment*. vol. 2. Washington, DC: National Association for the Education of Young Children; 1995: 15–21.
7. Roskos K, Christie J. Examining the play–literacy interface: a critical review and future directions. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy* 2001;1(1):59–89.
8. Saracho ON, Spodek B. Young children’s literacy-related play. *Early Child Development and Care* 2006;176(7):707-721.
9. Ginsburg HP. Mathematical play and playful mathematics: A guide for early education. In: Singer DG, Golinkoff RM, Hirsh-Pasek K, eds. *Play = Learning: How play motivates and enhances children’s cognitive and social-emotional growth*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2006: 145- 165.
10. Fler M. Supporting scientific conceptual consciousness or learning in a roundabout way in play-based contexts. *International Journal of Science Education* 2009;31(8):1069-1089.
11. Uren N, Stagnitti K. Pretend play, social competence and involvement in children aged 5-7 years: The concurrent validity of the Child-Initiated Pretend Play Assessment. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal* 2009;56(1):33-40.
12. Dickinson DK. Large-group and free-play times: Conversational settings supporting language and literacy development. In: Dickinson DK, Tabors PO, eds. *Beginning literacy with language: Young children learning at home and school*. Baltimore, MD: Brookes; 2001: 223–256.
13. Connor CM, Morrison FL, Slominski L. Preschool instruction and children’s emergent literacy growth. *Journal of Educational Psychology* 2006;98(4):665-689.
14. Pellegrini AD. Research and policy on children’s play. *Child Development Perspectives* 2009;3(2):131-136.
15. Pullen PS, Justice LM. Enhancing phonological awareness, print awareness, and oral language skills in preschool children. *Intervention in School and Clinic* 2003;39(2):87-98.
16. Lillard AS. Pretend play and theory of mind: Explaining the connection. In: Reifel S, ed. *Play and culture studies*. Vol. 3. Westport, CT: Ablex; 2001.
17. Van Oers B, Wardekker W. On becoming an authentic learner: semiotic activity in the early grades. *Journal of curriculum studies* 1999;31(2):229-249.
18. Berk LE, Mann TD, Ogan AT. Make-believe play: Wellspring for development of self-regulation. In: Singer DG, Golinkoff RM, Hirsh-Pasek KA, eds. *Play = Learning: How play motivates and enhances cognitive and social-emotional growth*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press 2006; 74-100.
19. Rogers S, Evans J. Rethinking role play in the reception class. *Educational Research* 2007;49(2):153–167.
20. Miller E, Almon J. *Crisis in kindergarten: Why children need to play in school*. College Park, MD: Alliance for Childhood; 2009.
21. Farran D, Son-Yarbrough W. Title I funded preschools as a developmental context for children’s play and verbal behaviors. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 2001;16(2):245-262.

22. Smirnova EO, Gudareva OV. Igra i proizvol'nost u sovremennykh doshkol'nikov [Play and intentionality in modern preschoolers]. *Voprosy Psichologii* 2004;1:91-103.
23. Wood E. Conceptualizing a pedagogy of play: International perspectives from theory, policy, and practice. In: Kuschner D, ed. *From children to red hatters: Diverse images and issues of play*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America; 2009: 166-189.
24. Barton EE, Wolery M. Teaching pretend play to children with disabilities: A review of the literature. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education* 2008;28(2):109-125.
25. Neeley PM, Neeley RA, Justen JE III, Tipton-Sumner C. Scripted play as a language intervention strategy for preschoolers with developmental disabilities. *Early Childhood Education Journal* 2001;28(4).
26. Chudacoff HP. *Children at play: An American history*. New York, NY: New York University Press; 2007.
27. Levin DE. Problem solving deficit disorder: The dangers of remote controlled versus creative play. In: Goodenough E, ed. *Where do children play?* Detroit, MI: Wayne University Press; 2008: 137-140.
28. Linn S. *The case for make-believe: Saving play in a commercialized world*. New York, NY: The New Press; 2008.
29. Miller E, Almon J. *Crisis in kindergarten: Why children need to play in school*. College Park, MD: Alliance for Childhood; 2009.
30. Frost JL. The changing culture of childhood: A perfect storm. *Childhood Education* 2007;83(4):225-230.
31. Bodrova E. Make-believe play vs. academic skills: A Vygotskian approach to today's dilemma of early childhood education. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal* 2008;16(3):357-369.
32. Marcon RA. Moving up the grades: relationship between preschool model and later school success. *Early Childhood Research and Practice* 2002;4(1).
33. Russ SW, Robins AL, Christiano BA. Pretend play: Longitudinal prediction of creativity and affect in fantasy in children. *Creativity Research Journal* 1999;12(2):129-139.
34. Hirsh-Pasek K, Golinkoff RM, Berk LE, Singer DG. *A mandate for playful learning in preschool: Presenting the evidence*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2008.
35. Howard J, Jenvey V, Hill C. Children's categorization of play and learning based on social context. *Early Child Development and Care* 2006;176(3):379-393.

To cite this document:

Bodrova E, Leong DJ. Curriculum and play in early child development. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, Boivin M, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2010:1-6. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/Bodrova-LeongANGxp.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2010

This article is funded by the Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development (CEECD) and the Strategic Knowledge Cluster on ECD (SKC-ECD).



STRATEGIC KNOWLEDGE
CLUSTER ON EARLY
child development