



Breastfeeding

Table of content

(Last update: 01-15-2009)

Synthesis on breastfeeding	i
Influence of breastfeeding on psychosocial development <i>Rafael Pérez-Escamilla</i>	1-6
Breastfeeding and child psychosocial development <i>Lianne J. Woodward and Kathleen A. Liberty</i>	1-7
Nutrition and its impact on psychosocial child development: Perspective on preterm infants <i>Stephanie A. Atkinson</i>	1-6
Programs to protect, support and promote breastfeeding <i>Ted Greiner</i>	1-8
Supporting breastfeeding/early childhood social and emotion development <i>Ruth A. Lawrence</i>	1-7
Breastfeeding promotion and early child development: Comments on Woodward and Liberty, Pérez-Escamilla, Lawrence, and Greiner <i>Michael S. Kramer</i>	1-5
Breastfeeding and its impact on child psychosocial and emotional development: Comments on Woodward and Liberty, Greiner, Pérez-Escamilla, and Lawrence <i>Grace S. Marquis</i>	1-4

To read Voices from the Field on these articles, consult the Encyclopedia at:
<http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/EN-CA/breastfeeding/perspectives.html?RIId=CA>

To read the Key Messages related to this topic, consult the Encyclopedia at :
<http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/en-ca/breastfeeding/key-messages.html>



Synthesis on breastfeeding

(Published online March 7, 2008)

How important is it?

[Breastfeeding](#) is widely recognized as the recommended method of infant feeding worldwide. The World Health Organization (WHO) has identified [exclusive breastfeeding](#) as the optimal feeding regime for children. Exclusive breastfeeding refers to feeding the infant only breast milk—nothing else, not even water—for six months, followed by continued breastfeeding with appropriate complementary feeding up to and beyond two years of age.¹

Breastfeeding has a positive impact on the infant, mother, parents and the health-care system; it also reduces the costs to society of raising healthy children who reach their full potential. For infants, breastfeeding can affect nutrition, growth and development, and offer protection from infection, allergies and some chronic diseases.

What do we know?

Breastfeeding has been shown to have a positive effect on the physical health of children, as well as their early behaviour and relationship with parents. Even long after breastfeeding has stopped, its influence can be seen in the child's emotional, intellectual and physical development.

To date, research has provided clear support for the nutritional and health [benefits of breastfeeding](#). Breastfeeding has a major impact on rates of disease and death, particularly in developing countries. It protects against gastrointestinal and respiratory infections and is associated with a reduced incidence of childhood-onset chronic diseases, such as diabetes, celiac disease, Crohn's disease and some childhood malignancies. It also protects against allergies, with [immunological protection](#) continuing as long as the child is breastfed.

Breastfeeding can affect the early behaviour of infants and have a positive impact on the parents as well. Breastfeeding can result in closer [parent-child relationships](#). Compared to formula-fed infants, breastfed infants may be more alert, cry less, and be better able to engage in interactions with their parents. Mothers who breastfeed have lower levels of perceived stress and negative mood and higher levels of [maternal attachment](#); they also tend to see their children as more reinforcing compared to mothers who formula feed.

Breastfeeding has been found to affect several aspects of children's development. Specifically, it has been shown to improve children's vision, an indication of breastfeeding's positive effect on the development of the central nervous system. Research indicates that breastfeeding also affects [motor development](#): children who

At the broadest level, women need the [social support](#) of friends and family; we need to progress to a point where breastfeeding is accepted as a social norm and a part of life.

1. Kramer MS, Kakuma R. *The optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: A systematic review*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, Department of Health and Development, Department of Child and Adolescent health and Development; 2002. Available at: http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/optimal_duration_of_exc_bfeeding_review_eng.pdf . Accessed March 6, 2008.



Influence of Breastfeeding on Psychosocial Development

RAFAEL PÉREZ-ESCAMILLA, PhD

University of Connecticut, USA

(Published online April 18, 2005)

Topic

Breastfeeding

Introduction

There is conclusive evidence that breastfeeding protects children against gastrointestinal and respiratory infections.¹ This obviously has major implications for child development, as children who become ill more frequently are unlikely to have optimal physical, intellectual and psycho-emotional development. However, relatively less is known about the possible influence of breastfeeding on the psychosocial development of children through its direct effects on brain development and through its association with preventing the onset of obesity, a major psycho-emotional risk factor for children and a major risk factor for debilitating chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. Thus, the objective of this review is to summarize the evidence available in these areas. This review will not focus on the maternal-infant bonding hypothesis, as most studies in this area have serious methodological shortcomings that preclude drawing inferences and useful recommendations.²

Subject Relevance

Breastfeeding can influence the psychosocial development of children through different routes. First, breast milk contains bioactive substances such as long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) that are crucial for brain development. Indeed, two PUFA derivatives known as arachidonic acid (AA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) play crucial roles in the proper growth, development and maintenance of the brain.³ In most parts of the world, infant formulas are still not fortified with these PUFAs. Thus, it is not surprising that breastfeeding has been consistently associated with improved central nervous system development, as indicated by improved visual acuity in relationship to formula-fed infants.⁴ Second, both biological properties and differences in maternal-infant interactions during the feeding process can lead to improved motor and intellectual development outcomes.^{5,6} Third, breastfeeding appears to be protective against the onset of childhood obesity,⁷ a condition that has enormous psychosocial consequences for children. Given the implications of adequate physical and intellectual development for both individual and societal productivity and growth, this topic is of immense public-health significance.

Key Research Questions

This review concentrates on addressing the following five questions:

- 1) Is there a link between breastfeeding and intellectual development?
- 2) Does breastfeeding influence the motor development of children?
- 3) Can breastfeeding be used as an intervention to address the childhood obesity epidemic?
- 4) What are the possible mechanisms explaining these relationships?
- 5) What are the implications of these findings for public-health policies?

Key Research Results

Breastfeeding and IQ

Anderson et al.⁸ conducted a meta-analysis (n=11 observational studies) to examine the impact of breastfeeding on cognitive development after adjusting for socio-economic confounders, including the level of maternal education. The unadjusted benefit in cognitive function (or Intelligence Quotient [IQ]) attributed to breastfeeding was 5.32 points (95% CI: 4.51-6.14). After adjusting for socio-economic confounders, the adjusted benefit in cognitive function declined to 3.16 points but it was still statistically significant (95% CI: 2.35-3.98). The age of cognitive testing ranged from six months to 15 years. These cognitive differences between breastfed and formula-fed infants were detected as early as two to 23 months of age and remained stable at subsequent ages. An interesting finding from this meta-analysis is that premature infants appeared to benefit more intellectually from breastfeeding than normal birth weight infants (5.18 points (95% CI: 3.59-6.77) vs. 2.66 points (95% CI: 2.15-3.17)). These findings are very consistent with those from Lucas et al.⁹ who randomly assigned premature babies to be tube-fed formula or human milk. Findings are also consistent with the multi-country randomized trial conducted by O'Connor et al.¹⁰ who found that supplementing infant formula with PUFAs (AA and DHA) was clearly beneficial for the visual and mental development of infants born prematurely, but not for infants born at term. The biological plausibility of this finding is high, as DHA and AA accretion in the fetus occurs until the last trimester of pregnancy.^{8,10}

Breastfeeding and motor development

Although studies have consistently shown a positive relationship between breastfeeding and intellectual development, few studies have examined the association between infant feeding method and motor development. This is perhaps because in well-nourished populations, infants' motor development has not been identified as a useful predictor of intellectual function later in life. However, in malnourished populations motor development may be a useful predictor of subsequent human function.⁵ A study conducted in Denmark⁶ found a positive relationship between breastfeeding duration and an earlier ability to crawl and perform the "pincer grip" after adjusting for potential confounding variables. Data derived from two randomized trials with primiparous women from Honduras, one based on low birth weight and the other on normal birth weight infants, show that infants who were exclusively breastfed for six months (vs. four months) began to crawl earlier.⁵ In addition, the normal birth weight trial showed that babies who were exclusively breastfed for six months were significantly more likely to be walking by one year compared with those who were exclusively breastfed for four months (60% vs. 39%).

Breastfeeding and childhood obesity

Dewey⁷ has recently reviewed the literature on this topic and concluded that breastfeeding is likely to be associated with a reduction in the risk of child obesity to a moderate extent. Dewey reviewed 11 observational studies with adequate sample sizes and with children's obesity data beyond three years of age. Only one of the studies was longitudinal and all were conducted in industrialized nations in North America, Europe, Australia and New Zealand. Of these 11 studies, eight showed an inverse relationship between breastfeeding and child obesity after controlling for potential confounders. The three studies where such an association was not documented lacked data on the exclusivity of breastfeeding. Since Dewey's review was published, two additional studies have been published with somewhat inconsistent results,^{11,12} although both studies lacked a clear definition of exclusive breastfeeding. These two studies highlight the need for more research in developing country populations and among ethnic minorities in developed countries. Although much work remains to be done in this area, particularly regarding the need for well-designed longitudinal studies that allow for a clear description of different breastfeeding modalities, the preponderance of the epidemiological evidence strongly suggests a link between breastfeeding and the prevention of obesity in childhood and adolescence. The biological plausibility of these findings is also strong. First, individuals who were breastfed have a leptin profile that may promote adequate appetite regulation and less fat deposition. With regards to appetite regulation, Pérez-Escamilla et al.¹³ showed that Honduran babies adjusted their milk intake volume in inverse proportion to the energy density of their mother's breast milk. It has also been proposed that the reason that the milk fat content toward the end of the feeding episode (i.e. "hind milk") is higher than at the start ("fore milk") of the episode is that it signals to the baby that the feeding episode is coming to an end. Obviously, formula-fed babies are not exposed to such "physiological signalling," as the fat concentration in formula remains constant throughout the feeding episode. A corollary of this is that among formula-fed babies, it is the caretaker and not the infant who controls the child's caloric intake. Second, breastfed babies gain less weight than formula-fed infants during the first year of life. Third, formula-fed babies have higher insulin levels circulating in their bloodstream as a result of the higher protein content in infant formula, which in turn may stimulate a higher deposition of fat stores. Fourth, it is possible that breast milk influences the development of a taste receptors profile that can foster a preference for lower energy diets later in life. We are still far from having conclusive evidence regarding the biological mechanism(s) that may explain a link between breastfeeding and the prevention of obesity. Clearly, these research efforts will require the establishment and funding of strong multidisciplinary partnerships involving biological, medical, public-health and behavioural researchers.

Conclusions

There is substantial evidence to support a possible link between breastfeeding and the psychosocial development of children. Breastfeeding has consistently been associated with improved cognitive scores and is likely to be able to prevent the onset of childhood/adolescent obesity, a condition that can seriously harm the child's self-esteem and overall psychosocial development. The biological plausibility of the intellectual development findings is high as: a) human milk contains bioactive compounds that are

not typically present in infant formulas and are essential for optimal central nervous system development; and b) the mother-infant interaction during the feeding process can be substantially different for breastfed and formula-fed infants. Likewise, the obesity prevention findings are plausible, as individuals who were breastfed babies may have been “programmed” early in life to be able to regulate their appetite better and have more optimal fat deposition patterns.

Implications

The findings summarized in this review have major policy implications, as they strongly suggest that investing in breastfeeding promotion is likely to lead not only to improved physical health but also to improved intellectual and psycho-emotional outcomes. Findings also indicate the need for funding further research in this area. In particular, we need to conduct well-designed longitudinal studies to find out if breastfed babies actually end up: a) performing better in school; b) having better psychosocial development, including improved self-esteem, and less aggressive behaviours; and c) being more productive members of society, and if so, how much of this effect is related to brain stimulation vs. morbidity prevention effects of breastfeeding. Furthermore, we need to improve our understanding of whether breastfeeding leads to better infant motor development, and if so, what are the implications for human function later in life. Once we answer these questions, then we will truly have a complete appreciation of the findings included in this review.

REFERENCES

1. Heinig MJ. Host defense benefits of breastfeeding for the infant. Effect of breastfeeding duration and exclusivity. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):105-123.
2. Anderson GC, Moore E, Hepworth J, Bergman N. Early skin-to-skin contact for mothers and their healthy newborn infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003;(2):CD003519.
3. Crawford MA. The role of essential fatty-acids in neural development: implications for perinatal nutrition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1993;57(3):S703-S710.
4. Birch EE, Birch DG, Hoffman DR, Uauy R. Dietary essential fatty-acid supply and visual-acuity development. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science* 1992;33(11):3242-3253.
5. Dewey KG, Cohen RJ, Brown KH, Rivera LL. Effects of exclusive breastfeeding for four versus six months on maternal nutritional status and infant motor development: results of two randomized trials in Honduras. *Journal of Nutrition* 2001;131(2):262-267.
6. Vestergaard M, Obel C, Henriksen TB, Sorensen HT, Skajaa E, Ostergaard J. Duration of breastfeeding and developmental milestones during the latter half of infancy. *Acta Paediatrica* 1999;88(12):1327-1332.
7. Dewey KG. Is breastfeeding protective against child obesity? *Journal of Human Lactation* 2003;19(1):9-18.
8. Anderson JW, Johnstone BM, Remley DT. Breast-feeding and cognitive development: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1999;70(4):525-535.
9. Lucas A, Morley R, Cole TJ. Randomised trial of early diet in preterm babies and later intelligence quotient. *BMJ – British Medical Journal* 1998;317(7171):1481-1487.
10. O'Connor DL, Hall R, Adamkin D, Auestad N, Castillo M, Connor WE, Connor SL, Fitzgerald K, Groh-Wargo S, Hartmann EE, Jacobs J, Janowsky J, Lucas A, Margeson D, Mena P, Neuringer M, Nesin M, Singer L, Stephenson T, Szabo J, Zemon V, Ross Preterm Lipid Study. Growth and development in preterm infants fed long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2001;108(2):359-371.
11. Grummer-Strawn LM, Mei Z, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System. Does breastfeeding protect against pediatric overweight? Analysis of longitudinal data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System. *Pediatrics* 2004;113(2):e81-e86.
12. Victora CG, Barros FC, Lima RC, Horta BL, Wells J. Anthropometry and body composition of 18 year old men according to duration of breast feeding: birth cohort study from Brazil. *BMJ – British Medical Journal* 2003;327(7420):901-904.
13. Perez-Escamilla R, Cohen RJ, Brown KH, Rivera LL, Canahuati J, Dewey KG. Maternal anthropometric status and lactation performance in a low-income

BREASTFEEDING

Honduran population: evidence for the role of infants. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1995;61(3):528-534.

To cite this document:

Pérez-Escamilla R. Influence of breastfeeding on psychosocial development. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2005:1-6. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/Perez-EscamillaANGxp.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2005



Breastfeeding and Child Psychosocial Development

LIANNE J. WOODWARD, PhD
KATHLEEN A. LIBERTY, PhD

University of Canterbury & Christchurch School of Medicine, New Zealand
University of Canterbury, NEW ZEALAND

(Published online May 27, 2005)

Topic

Breastfeeding

Introduction

The effects of breastfeeding on children's development have important implications for both public-health policies and for the design of targeted early intervention strategies to improve the developmental outcomes of children at risk as a result of biological (e.g. prematurity) or social adversity (e.g. poverty). To date, research has provided clear support for the nutritional and health benefits of breastfeeding,¹ with appropriate cautions noted for women who are ill or on medication. There is also evidence of small but consistently positive effects of breastfeeding on intellectual development.^{2,3} Less well studied is the relationship between breastfeeding and child psychosocial development.

Subject

Most research concerned with the psychosocial effects of breastfeeding has focused on the following:

- a) Comparisons between breast- and bottle-feeding mother-infant dyads on a range of maternal and infant measures, such as maternal stress, well-being, parenting behaviour, the quality of early mother-infant interactions and infant self-regulation and behaviour.
- b) Examining within-group differences in maternal mood and infant state both before and after breast- or bottle-feeding.
- c) Examining linkages between the extent of breastfeeding and children's longer-term psychosocial outcomes, including attachment to parents, behavioural adjustment and mental health.
- d) Adjusting these linkages for confounding factors correlated with both the decision to breastfeed and child outcomes.

Problems

The key problems in this area of investigation are as follows:

- a) Separating the effects of breastfeeding from other potentially confounding factors associated with breastfeeding. Breastfeeding as a choice of infant feeding has been shown to be related to socioeconomic status (SES), maternal mental health, education and nurturance. These factors are also related to child development outcomes. Therefore, determining the unique effects of breastfeeding on child psychosocial outcomes has been difficult and not always well done.
- b) Nutrition and health factors such as alcohol use and medication can reduce the quality of mothers' breast milk and adversely affect infant neurological state and mother-infant interactions. Therefore, controlling for breast milk quality is also important. Few studies have included such measures or controls.
- c) Relatively little consideration has been given to the effects of breastfeeding duration or the use of combined feeding methods on later psychosocial outcomes.
- d) Considerable variability exists in the psychosocial outcomes studied and the length of developmental follow-up, with few studies extending beyond the first years of life.
- e) Finally and importantly, the mechanisms or pathways by which breastfeeding may influence children's short- and long-term psychosocial adjustment have not been identified.

Research Context

Research in this area has been based predominantly on samples of mothers and infants living in developed countries. Research designs have included both cross-sectional and longitudinal approaches. Cross-sectional studies have used both retrospective and concurrent reports of maternal breastfeeding. With a few exceptions, longitudinal studies have tended to be of short duration. In both longitudinal and cross-sectional studies, outcome measures have included maternal interviews or reports, child interviews, and direct observations of feeding, play and other interactions between mothers and their infants. Experimental investigations in this area have not been able to randomly assign mother-infant dyads to different feeding groups, making other methodological and analytical steps necessary to ensure that research outcomes are accurately attributed to the factors under study.

Key Research Questions

The key research questions in this area are as follows:

- a) Does breastfeeding contribute to children's psychosocial adjustment both in the short and long term? Psychosocial outcomes of interest include the formation of a secure and close infant-mother attachment relationship, and child social and behavioural adjustment.
- b) What are the mechanisms and pathways by which breastfeeding might influence child psychosocial outcomes?

Recent Research Results

Evidence suggests that a range of factors are associated with both the decision to breastfeed and the duration of breastfeeding. Specifically, women who choose not to

breastfeed and who breastfeed for a shorter length of time tend to be younger, less well educated, sole parents, poorer, and to report lower levels of parental nurturance.^{4,6} In addition, women who do not breastfeed are more likely to have smoked during their pregnancy, to have infants of lower birth weight, and to be primiparous (i.e. having their first child).⁴ Finally, several studies also show that mothers who are employed or anticipate returning to full-time employment are less likely to breastfeed, and when they do, will tend to feed their babies for a shorter length of time.^{7,8}

These findings clearly indicate that infant breastfeeding is a selective process, whereby those infants who have been exposed to greater perinatal risk and who come from more disadvantaged social and family backgrounds are less likely to be breastfed. It is, therefore, important that these pre-existing differences be considered by researchers when examining associations between breastfeeding and child psychosocial outcomes. Although most studies reviewed have attempted to control statistically for some of these differences, very few have controlled extensively for a range of these confounding factors.⁴

Findings from short-term outcome studies suggest that breastfeeding may have some benefits for both mother and infant, as well as for their developing relationship. Specifically, mothers who breastfeed have been found to report lower levels of perceived stress and negative mood, higher levels of maternal attachment, and tend to perceive their infants as more reinforcing than mothers who formula-feed.^{5,9} There is evidence to suggest that breastfeeding mothers may hold their babies for longer and feel more confident as parents.¹⁰ After breastfeeding, mothers also report reductions in negative mood compared to mood levels prior to breastfeeding.⁵

In terms of infant behaviour, there is some suggestion that in the first few weeks of life breastfed babies may be characterized by improved alertness^{11,12} and other aspects of neurobehavioural functioning.¹³ For example, Hart et al.¹³ found that one-week-old breastfed infants obtained significantly higher scores on the orientation and motor scales on the Brazelton Neonatal Behavioural Assessment Scale.¹⁴ In addition, they also tended to have better self-regulation, fewer abnormal reflexes and fewer signs of withdrawal than formula-fed infants. Additional support for the possible self-regulatory benefits associated with breastfeeding is also provided by a short-term follow-up study of 158 infants.¹⁰ This study found that between the ages of 13 and 52 weeks, breastfed babies consistently cried for shorter periods of time than formula-fed babies.

Only a small number of studies have examined the effects of breastfeeding on the development of the mother-infant relationship.^{9,10} One study has shown that although breast- and formula-feeding mothers spend similar amounts of time involved in care-taking activities with their infant, breastfeeding mother-infant dyads spent more time engaged in playful and positive interactions than formula-feeding dyads, with this time difference increasing from 3.2 hours per month at six weeks to 19.4 hours per month at 13 weeks. Importantly, this difference persisted after statistical control for the effects of maternal employment and socioeconomic status. A similar, but less well controlled study by Else-Quest et al.⁹ also suggests some linkages between breastfeeding and improved

mother and infant psychosocial functioning. They compared two groups of mothers and infants at ages four and 12 months: those who breastfed their infants during the first week and those who did not. At four months, mothers in the breastfeeding group reported higher levels of attachment to their infant and increased infant reinforcement. However, these differences were no longer evident at 12 months. At the 12-month assessment, breastfeeding mothers reported lower levels of negative mood, behaved less intrusively with their infants, and their infants obtained lower scores on a measure of dysregulation (anxiety, self-regulation, frequency of mood changes and organization). The authors concluded that although breastfeeding was associated with some relationship and infant advantages, formula-fed dyads did not have poor quality relationships. The findings from this study are limited by a lack of control for confounding factors and also by the fact that by four months, 50% of the breastfeeding mothers had weaned their babies. Finally, a study of 915 infants found that both exclusively and non-exclusively breastfed infants obtained higher scores on the social personal subscale of the Griffith Scales of Mental Development at 18 months.¹⁵

There are even fewer studies examining the longer-term psychosocial effects of breastfeeding. At present, findings are mixed, with some studies suggesting some limited psychosocial benefits^{4,16} and others not.¹⁷ No clear evidence has emerged to suggest that breastfed babies are at lower risk of developing behaviour or mental health problems in later life.^{4,17,18} However, one study has shown a small but significant association between breastfeeding duration and adolescents' perceptions of maternal care, with a longer duration of breastfeeding being associated with increased adolescent perceptions of maternal nurturance. This association persisted after statistical control for a wide range of the selection factors noted above.

Conclusion

Evidence to support a link between breastfeeding and positive psychosocial outcomes for children is at best modest, and in many cases has been limited by inadequate control for pre-existing differences between breast- and formula-fed infants and their families. Nonetheless, there is some suggestion that breastfed infants may be more alert, cry less, and be better able to engage in interactions with their parents than formula-fed infants. Breastfeeding may also have some stress-reducing properties for mothers and assist parenting confidence. Finally, one well-controlled long-term follow-up study has shown small to modest increases in perceived maternal care among adolescents who were breastfed for longer durations. The mechanisms by which these associations arise have not been empirically established. Attachment fostered through breastfeeding is one possible and frequently cited mechanism. Another explanation could be that associations between breastfeeding and improved mother-child relations may, at least in part, reflect improvements in child cognitive functioning associated with breastfeeding.

Implications for Policy and Services

- There is no substantive evidence to promote breastfeeding on the grounds that it leads to better psychosocial development. However, there is ample justification for the value of breastfeeding from studies of the nutritional and cognitive advantages associated with breastfeeding. This needs to be taken into

- consideration when preparing breastfeeding promotional materials.
- Although future research may establish whether breastfeeding leads to improved psychosocial functioning, a large number of parent and family factors have been shown to be more strongly linked with child psychosocial maladjustment. These include teenage motherhood, maternal educational under-achievement, poverty, parental antisocial behaviour and other mental-health problems, family violence, child abuse and parenting difficulties. Therefore, in order to reduce rates of behavioural and mental health problems among children and youth, broadly-based community and family intervention strategies are likely be the most effective approaches.

REFERENCES

1. Meyers A, Chawla N. Nutrition and social, emotional and cognitive development of infants and young children. *Zero to Three* 2000;21(1):5-12.
2. Horwood LJ, Fergusson DM. Breastfeeding and later cognitive and academic outcomes. *Pediatrics* 1998;101(1):1-7. Available at: <http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/content/full/101/1/e9>. Accessed April 27, 2005.
3. Anderson JW, Johnstone BM, Remley DT. Breast-feeding and cognitive development: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1999;70(4):525-535.
4. Fergusson DM, Woodward LJ. Breast feeding and later psychosocial adjustment. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology* 1999;13(2):144-157.
5. Mezzacappa ES, Katkin ES. Breast-feeding is associated with reduced perceived stress and negative mood in mothers. *Health Psychology* 2002;21(2):187-193.
6. Dubois L, Girard M. Social inequalities in infant feeding during the first year of life. The Longitudinal Study of Child Development in Quebec (LSCDQ 1998-2002). *Public Health Nutrition* 2003;6(8):773-783.
7. Fein SB, Roe B. The effect of work status on initiation and duration of breast-feeding. *American Journal of Public Health* 1998;88(7):1042-1046.
8. Earland J, Ibrahim SO, Harpin VA. Maternal employment: does it influence feeding practices during infancy? *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* 1997;10(5):305-311.
9. Else-Quest NM, Hyde JS, Clark R. Breastfeeding, bonding, and the mother-infant relationship. *Merrill Palmer Quarterly* 2003;49(4):495-517.
10. Baidam EM, Hillier VF, Menon S, Bannister RP, Bamford FN, Moore WMO, Ward BS. Attention to infants in the first year. *Child: Care, Health and Development* 2000;26(3):199-215.
11. Feldman R, Eidelman AI. Direct and indirect effects of breast milk on the neurobehavioral and cognitive development of premature infants. *Developmental Psychobiology* 2003;43(2):109-119.
12. Gerrish CJ, Mennella JA. Short-term influence of breastfeeding on the infants' interaction with the environment. *Developmental Psychobiology* 2000;36(1):40-48.
13. Hart S, Boylan LM, Carroll S, Musick YA, Lampe, RM. Brief report: Breast-fed one-week-olds demonstrate superior neurobehavioral organization. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 2003;28(8):529-533.
14. Brazelton TB, Nugent JK. *Neonatal behavioral assessment scale*. 3rd ed. London, England: Mac Keith Press; 1995.
15. Little RE, Northstone K, Golding J, ALSDAC Study Team. Alcohol, breastfeeding, and development at 18 months. *Pediatrics* 2002;109(5):1-6. Available at: <http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/content/full/109/5/e72>. Accessed April 27, 2005.
16. Taylor B, Wadsworth J. Breast feeding and child development at five years. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 1984;26(1):73-80.

BREASTFEEDING

17. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Shannon FT. Breast feeding and subsequent social adjustment in six- to eight-year-old children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* 1987;28(3):379-386.
18. Golding J, Rogers IS, Emmett PM. Association between breast feeding, child development and behaviour. *Early Human Development* 1997;49(Suppl S):S175-S184.

To cite this document:

Woodward LJ, Liberty KA. Breastfeeding and child psychosocial development. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2005:1-7. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/Woodward-LibertyANGxp.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2005



Nutrition and Its Impact on Psychosocial Child Development: Perspective on Preterm Infants

STEPHANIE A. ATKINSON, PhD

McMaster University, CANADA

(Published online May 27, 2003)

Topic

Breastfeeding

Nutrition and pregnancy

Prematurity

Introduction

The profound impact of nutrition on the early growth and body composition of low birthweight (LBW) infants is well known.¹ Emerging evidence supports the thesis that both the quantity and quality of nutrients delivered in early life to LBW infants affects infant and child development and underlines the need for a renewed emphasis on optimizing early nutrition. Intrauterine and postnatal growth restrictions are associated with a myriad of adverse outcomes in LBW infants, even at adolescence, including short stature, disproportionately small heads and sub-optimal weight in relation to age.^{2,3} In turn, deficits in growth have been associated with poor educational and cognitive outcomes,^{4,5} including low verbal and performance IQ scores and inappropriate receptive language, speech, reading, math, and spelling skills in children,^{6,7} or risk of schizophrenia.⁸

Subject

The relationship between early nutrition and neurodevelopment has come to the fore once again through investigations into the influence of feeding (human milk compared to bovine-based formula) and specific nutrients, such as the long chain polyenoic fatty acids (LCPUFA), on developmental outcomes in LBW infants.

Problems

Mounting evidence supports the view that LBW infants who are fed their mother's milk early in life have greater visual acuity, language skills and developmental outcomes (up to at least 18 months of age) than do comparable groups of infants fed bovine-based infant formula. Research continues on the specific nutrient(s) or socio-environmental factors related to feeding practices that effect the observed developmental advantages.

Research Context

The influence of feeding with mother's milk compared to formula on developmental outcomes has been studied both retrospectively and prospectively, although from an ethical standpoint it cannot be designed through randomized trials. Reported studies vary

in the degree to which they have adjusted their analyses for confounding factors (such as socio-economic status), differences in demographic characteristics (such as parental education and smoking), size at birth, parenting skills, and the proportion of breast milk intake in relation to total feeding volume. To date, investigation of the nutrient(s) possibly responsible for the observed benefits of mother's milk on neurodevelopment have focused on the LCPUFAs, docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), and arachidonic acid (AA), since they represent the greatest proportion of LCPUFA contained in the phospholipids of neural and retinal tissues and are naturally present in human milk. Until very recently LCPUFAs were not present in infant formulas as they are not natural components of the vegetable oils used in the manufacture of formula. The lack of a dietary source of LCPUFAs may be of concern for LBW infants who, due to their immaturity, may have a limited capacity to synthesize them from the essential linolenic and linoleic fatty acids. Most reported studies that have investigated the efficacy of adding LCPUFAs to infant formulas are randomized double-blind clinical trials often including a reference group of breast-fed infants. However, a comparison of findings is complicated by inconsistencies in studies regarding the duration of nutrition intervention, variability in the source of DHA/AA (single-cell triglycerides, marine oil, evening primrose oil and/or egg lipids), the amount of DHA/AA added, and the inclusion of a reference control group of either term or premature infants who were fed their mother's milk.

Key Research Questions

The key research question is whether feeding LBW infants their mother's milk in early life benefits neurobehavioural development, which in turn, affects intellectual programming and social behaviour; and if so, by what mechanism (nutrients and/or feeding behaviours) this outcome occurs. If nutrients unique to human milk – such as one or more of the LCPUFAs - are thought to be the mediators of benefits to neurobehavioural development, then the sequential research question is whether addition of those nutrients to infant formulas will elicit the same developmental benefits.

Recent Research Results

The positive benefits of breastfeeding compared to formula feeding on short-term visual and developmental outcomes in both term and LBW infants have been observed in several studies (as summarized in reviews by Anderson et al. and Jain et al.^{9,10}). Based on a meta-analysis of six studies, LBW infants derived greater benefits from breast feeding than did normal-weight infants,⁹ with the breastfed (N=1294) compared to formula-fed (N=751) infants recording a significant ($p<.001$) 5.18 point advantage (compared to a 2.66 point advantage for term born infants) in a cognitive development score. As noted above, inconsistency exists across studies in measures of confounding variables and may impact test scores (eg, maternal IQ, birth order, and paternal education). Comparability between reported studies is further hindered by variability in the definition of breastfeeding (ie, exclusivity and duration), the use of nutrient supplements or fortifiers for human milk, and the ages at which outcomes are measured. Since a dose-response relationship has been established between human milk intake and developmental outcomes,⁹ the daily intake and duration of breastfeeding are important variables that should be measured in such studies. Major changes in nutritional practices for LBW

infants over the past 20 years may have had a significant influence on developmental outcomes. For instance, in a British study reported in 1992,¹¹ infants who were fed donor, unfortified breast milk versus term formula, demonstrated an advantage of up to 8.8 points difference in psychomotor scores (Bayley Scales of Infant Development) and of 2.1 points in mental development scores. In contrast, when the same group studied LBW infants fed diets more reflective of neonatal practices today (ie, human milk with a multinutrient fortifier containing protein, human milk supplemented with minerals alone or premature formula), they found that the neurodevelopmental outcomes for this group at 18 months were not statistically better in the infants fed fortified human milk.¹²

The biological basis for feeding infants human milk early in life to produce positive neurodevelopmental outcomes is uncertain, but DHA and AA, which have their greatest effect on the rapidly developing immature brain, appear to be the key elements for consideration. More than a dozen clinical trials have compared feeding LBW infants formulas that contain DHA±AA to feeding them formulas that do not contain these nutrients, with some trials including a breastfed reference control group. A Cochrane systematic review¹³ concluded that no long-term benefit was derived from supplementing formula with DHA, with the possible exception of benefit to accelerating the rate of early visual maturation. Since this review, three reported randomized clinical trials with reasonably large sample sizes produced inconsistent results. Positive benefits to visual acuity,^{14,15} language, and developmental outcomes¹⁴ were observed in preterm infants fed formula with added DHA and AA for at least 28 days in early life. In a study of similar design¹⁶ the addition of DHA/AA produced no significant effect in cognitive and motor development up to 18 months of age in former preterm infants. In both studies, breastfed reference infants demonstrated outcomes of visual acuity or neurodevelopment that were significantly more advanced than infants receiving the formula supplemented with DHA/AA. Deficits in growth, in weight and/or length among infants fed formula supplemented with LCPUFA have been observed inconsistently, but Simmer¹³ concluded there was no overall negative impact on growth among infants fed such formulas. Nevertheless, a recent randomized trial in the United Kingdom revealed that even 18 months after the completion of the dietary intervention of formula supplemented with DHA+AA, a significant growth deficit in the length of infants was observed.¹⁶

Conclusions

While the precise role of early life nutrition in the neurodevelopmental outcomes of LBW infants is not well defined, emerging evidence suggests that feeding infants their mother's milk benefits developmental outcomes that can be measured up to 9 years of age. While the size effect is small, the potential for enhancing child development at no risk and little cost may be especially important for premature infants, who are prone to developmental difficulties. Future research should explore whether the neurodevelopmental advantages observed in breastfed infants are linked to psychosocial or environmental factors, or to select nutritive and non-nutritive factors in human milk, rather than to absolute intakes of protein or energy. The addition of LCPUFA to formulas for LBW infants also needs to be more fully explored both for efficacy and safety.

Implications for Policy and Services

Awareness of the impact of early nutrition on the neurobehavioural development of LBW

BREASTFEEDING

infants is essential if we are to implement the objectives of the 1999 *Second Report on the Health of Canadians — Toward a Healthy Futures*, which states: “The foundation for healthy growth and development in later years is established to a large degree in the first six years. [...] Efforts are needed to maximize all children’s opportunities for healthy development.” Research to date supports current recommendations that LBW infants be fed their mother’s milk. Future development of powdered fortifiers for mother’s expressed milk and formulas specifically designed for LBW infants should take the influence of specific nutrients on neurodevelopment and not just somatic growth into consideration. In order to adequately assess the efficacy of such products, we may have to develop tests that are more sensitive measures of diet-induced alterations in behavioural and cognitive functions, both in early life and at school age.

REFERENCES

1. Atkinson SA, Randall-Simpson J. Factors influencing body composition of premature infants at term-adjusted age. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 2000;904:393-399.
2. Powls A, Botting N, Cooke RW, Pilling D, Marlow N. Growth impairment in very low birthweight children at 12 years: correlation with perinatal and outcome variables. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal & Neonatal Edition* 1996;75(3):F152-F157.
3. Saigal S, Stoskopf BL, Streiner DL, Burrows E. Physical growth and current health status of infants who were of extremely low birth weight and controls at adolescence. *Pediatrics* 2001;108(2):407-15.
4. Lemons JA, Bauer CR, Oh W, Korones SB, Papile LA, Stoll BJ, Verter J, Temprowa M, Wright LL, Ehrenkranz RA, Fanaroff AA, Stark A, Carlo W, Tyson JE, Donovan EF, Shankaran S, Stevenson DK, NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Very low birth weight outcomes of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network, January 1995 through December 1996. *Pediatrics* 2001;107(1):E1.
5. Hack M, Flannery DJ, Schluchter M, Cartar L, Borawski E, Klein N. Outcomes in young adulthood for very-low-birth-weight infants. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2002;346(3):149-157.
6. Ounsted MK, Moar VA, Scott A. Children of deviant birthweight at the age of seven years: health, handicap, size and developmental status. *Early Human Development* 1984;9(4):323-340.
7. Hack M, Friedman H, Fanaroff AA. Outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants. *Pediatrics* 1996;98(5):931-937.
8. Wahlbeck K, Forsen T, Osmond C, Barker DJP, Eriksson JG. Association of schizophrenia with low maternal body mass index, small size at birth, and thinness during childhood. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;58(1):48-52.
9. Anderson JW, Johnstone BM, Remley DT. Breast-feeding and cognitive development: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1999;70(4):525-535.
10. Jain A, Concato J, Levantahl JM. How good is the evidence linking breastfeeding and intelligence? *Pediatrics* 2002;109(6):1044-1053.
11. Lucas A, Morley R, Cole TJ, Gore SM. A randomized multicentre study of human-milk versus formula and later development in preterm infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1994;70(2):F141-F146.
12. Fewtrell MS, Morley R, Lucas PJ, Baker BA, Lister G, Bishop NJ. Randomized outcome trial of human milk fortification and developmental outcome in preterm infants. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1996;64(2):142-151.
13. Simmer K. Longchain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2000;2:CD000375.
14. O'Connor DL, Hall R, Adamkin D, Auestad N, Castillo M, Connor WE, Connor SL, Fitzgerald K, Groh-Wargo S, Hartmann EE, Jacobs J, Janowsky J, Lucas A, Margeson D, Mena P, Neuringer M, Nesin M, Singer L, Stephenson T, Szabo J, Zemon V. Growth and development in preterm infants fed long-chain

- polyunsaturated fatty acids: A prospective, randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2001;108(2):359-371.
15. Innis SM, Adamkin DH, Hall RT, Kalhan SC, Lair C, Lim M, Stevens DC, Twist PF, Dieresen-Schade DA, Harris CL, Merkel, KL, Hansen JW. Docosahexaenoic acid and arachidonic acid enhance growth with no adverse effects in preterm infants fed formula. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2002;140(5):547-554.
 16. Fewtrell MS, Morley R, Abbott RA, Singhal A, Isaacs EB, Stephenson T, MacFadyen U, Lucas A. Double-blind, randomized trial of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation in formula fed to preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 2002;110(1):73-82.

To cite this document:

Atkinson SA. Nutrition and its impact on psychosocial child development: Perspective on preterm infants. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2003:1-6. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/AtkinsonANGxp-Breastfeeding.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2003



Programs to Protect, Support and Promote Breastfeeding

TED GREINER, PhD

*Associate Professor, International Child Health,
Uppsala University, SWEDEN
(Currently Senior Nutritionist, PATH, USA)*

(Published online November 17, 2004)

Topic

Breastfeeding

Introduction

The importance of breastfeeding is widely acknowledged and referred to in several other papers in the Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development. Dewey (Nutrition: Facilitating improved nutrition for pregnant and lactating women, and children 0-5 years of age) cites some of the best literature on the relationship between breastfeeding and maternal and child health, including the available evidence for the impact of breastfeeding on child development.¹

The impact of breastfeeding on early childhood development is being increasingly recognized. For example, the United Nations Children's Fund, UNICEF, includes breastfeeding under the broader department dealing with Early Child Development. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines optimal breastfeeding as exclusive breastfeeding for six months, followed by continued breastfeeding with appropriate complementary feeding up to and beyond two years of age.

Subject

How can breastfeeding best be protected, supported and promoted? These are complex concepts and the steps required to implement them are even more complex. In theory,² the establishment of systems to protect existing breastfeeding practice deserves priority, from both the human rights and economic perspectives. Second, priority should go to providing the social, economic, work-place, nutritional, lactation-management and "moral" support women need to meet their breastfeeding objectives. Only once such mechanisms are in place is it rational and defensible to "promote" breastfeeding to help convince women to increase the duration and intensity of their breastfeeding.

Of course, this is viewing "promotion" from a narrow perspective. Information on both the benefits and the successful practice of breastfeeding should always be provided as each generation of new mothers comes of age and requires such information. Indeed, this is obligatory in countries that have ratified the International Convention on the Rights of

the Child.³ There is also increasing agreement that such information should actually not take as its point of departure artificial feeding as the norm, and thus should provide health workers and mothers not with a “breast is best” message, but with objective evidence of the harm and risks inherent in feeding infants less than optimally. (It should be pointed out that such evidence is far from complete, especially regarding breastfeeding in economically well-off circumstances for periods longer than a year or in such circumstances, exclusively for more than four months.)

Problems

Though a traditional practice everywhere, and nearly universal for long periods of time in low-income countries (and, for shorter periods, in most of Scandinavia and parts of Canada (SPC)), breastfeeding in the modern world is not automatic. Many ideas and practices associated with modern life seem to work against it. Thus continuing efforts to protect, support and promote breastfeeding may be required, at least until it becomes the social norm.

Research Context and Recent Research Results

The concept of exclusive breastfeeding is new and the practice (giving breast milk and nothing else, not even water, to an infant from birth until six months of age) is not traditional anywhere. The theory and first experimental evidence that breastfed infants did not need additional water were first described by Almroth in 1978.⁴ After several studies confirmed this theory, the WHO produced an update in 1997 warning health-care professionals not to give breastfed infants water and teas. Based on a systematic review,⁵ the WHO also recommended six months of exclusive breastfeeding. Thus, there has been little time to research the health implications of longer periods of exclusive breastfeeding (rare almost everywhere except for SPC), nor the methods of promoting and supporting this practice beyond the early weeks of life.

Best Practices

Protection

Probably the first “code of marketing” to protect breastfeeding from commercial forces was promulgated in the United States;⁶ unfortunately, it is not respected by North American formula manufacturers who do not market other products through medical professions. The International Code of Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes⁷ and later relevant World Health Assembly Resolutions continue to be the backbone of efforts to protect breastfeeding throughout most of the world, in spite of continued milk company promotional activities.⁸ This unique Code is the basis for comprehensive marketing laws in more than 20 countries and less comprehensive laws in over twice that many. Due to the bad publicity they risk, most international companies abstain from consumer advertising in most other countries as well.

As for other products, marketing activities of commercial infant foods would not be undertaken if they did not lead to rising sales. Proving their impact has been difficult and few studies have even been attempted. Women’s recollection of having heard advertisements (controlling statistically for levels of brand familiarity, among other things) was associated with a shorter period of exclusive/predominant breastfeeding in St.

Vincent.⁹ The use of commercial discharge packs in the U.S. has also been associated with shorter periods of exclusive/predominant breastfeeding.¹⁰

It is by definition impossible to evaluate the impact of ongoing worldwide efforts to monitor and uphold the Code by the WHO, UNICEF and NGO networks, particularly the International Baby Food Action Network (IBFAN) and the World Alliance for Breastfeeding Action and its core partners.

Support

At the broadest level, women need the support of society as a whole, acceptance for breastfeeding as being a social norm, a part of life and a normal extension of the reproductive process after pregnancy. This kind of social norm was lost in most of the wealthier countries of the world, but has now been restored in SPC. Much of the rest of Europe and North America is currently making efforts to promote the restoration of their earlier breastfeeding cultures. However, without some of the support measures described below, success seems unlikely.

While it is “natural,” breastfeeding is not instinctive on the part of the mother. Though several breastfeeding behaviours are instinctive for infants, a small proportion of infants or mothers get part of it wrong without help. A body of evidence has been built up for how best breastfeeding counselling and “lactation management” should take place, and several international courses (including one available from WHO¹¹) and an international program for certification (International Board of Lactation Consultant Examiners¹²) have been established.

Every health facility should have staff members or consultants available who possess the requisite lactation management knowledge and skills. Such knowledge and skills are not included in the basic training of any health professionals, although midwifery training in some countries may come close.

Furthermore, health facilities where birthing takes place should adopt WHO and UNICEF’s “Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding” as part of the International Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative. The changes required in hospital practice are well documented scientifically, particularly the importance of early initiation of breastfeeding, avoidance of unnecessary supplementation with glucose and other substances, and rooming in (babies sleeping with their mothers rather than in a “nursery”).¹³

The International Labour Organisation (ILO) has passed three Maternity Protection Conventions, the latest, Convention 183 of 2000, with Recommendation 195.¹⁴ Only nine countries have ratified it so far (mid-2004).¹⁴ A long period of paid leave (R195 recommends 18 weeks but SPC offer much longer periods) is no doubt the best way to give working women the opportunity for exclusive breastfeeding. Offering child care and breast milk expressing facilities at the workplace is another. The problem remains especially acute throughout the world for women working in the informal sector, who often have no maternity protection whatsoever and can even lose their jobs when pregnant.

Many studies have shown that various other kinds of support from the baby's father, family and friends are crucial in helping women achieve optimal breastfeeding patterns.¹⁵ In addition, exclusive breastfeeding cannot be achieved in most countries without addressing the widespread myths that lead to the pressure placed on mothers¹⁶ to follow various non-exclusive patterns of feeding that are normative all over the world.¹⁷

Seen as a whole, it is equally impossible to evaluate the impact of providing the many forms of support women need to achieve optimal breastfeeding patterns. Indeed, very few if any intentional national-level efforts have attempted to be comprehensive, with the possible exception of Brazil, which has, for more than 20 years, implemented a comprehensive range of efforts with undoubted impact on its breastfeeding rates – though much still remains to be done even there.^{18,19,20}

Promotion

Evaluations have been performed of many ways of promoting breastfeeding, ranging from the provision of a simple brochure or verbal message to breastfeed, to integrated health-systems and community-based approaches. A review of 23 experimental and 31 quasi-experimental studies concluded that the most effective approaches to promoting breastfeeding through the health-care system were fairly comprehensive, combining prenatal group discussions with postnatal home visits.²¹ Pugin et al²² found that adding to several other interventions “prenatal group educational sessions emphasizing the skills necessary to initiate and maintain breastfeeding past the neonatal period” led to a significantly higher number of women still breastfeeding at six months.

A recent review for the U.K. National Health Service²³ examined evidence from two high-quality systematic reviews regarding interventions proven to increase the initiation of breastfeeding (and thus relevant mainly to areas where initiation is low). The review concluded that comprehensive approaches, both within and outside the health-care sector, were most likely to be effective, including use of the mass media and of peer counsellors.

It is difficult to “tease out” exactly which aspects of complex promotional programs have had an impact and which have not. De Oliveira et al.²¹ found that “Small-scale short interventions, brief breastfeeding messages given amongst other topics and isolated use of printed matter all showed no effect. Most strategies with no or brief face-to-face interaction failed to produce significant results.”

Peer counselling is the intervention that has attracted the most attention in recent years, perhaps in response to a few trials that have achieved dramatic impacts on exclusive breastfeeding rates in some developing countries.^{24,25,26} In industrialized countries, outcomes of peer counsellor evaluations have been more mixed, as have reports from unpublished trials in developing countries. More research is needed to determine which characteristics of peer counsellors and of programs using them are most associated with program success.

Conclusions

Optimal breastfeeding behaviours, although associated with enormous health benefits, especially in developing countries,²⁷ are demanding for mothers to implement and complex to promote programmatically. It seems unlikely that simplistic approaches that address only one aspect would be effective. Efforts need to address protection, support and promotion issues, both within the health-care sector and in the community as a whole.

Comprehensive advice to policy-makers on policies and programs for achieving optimal infant feeding has been provided by WHO in the recently approved Global Strategy on Infant and Young Child Feeding.²⁸ Among other things, countries are advised to establish intersectoral breastfeeding committees and appoint a coordinator. Both resources and responsibility need to be allocated before the protection, support and promotion of breastfeeding can succeed.

REFERENCES

1. Dewey KG. Facilitating improved nutrition for pregnant and lactating women, and children 0-5 years of age. Commenting: Black, Reifsnider, and Devaney. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2003:1-6. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/DeweyANGxp.pdf>. Accessed October 12, 2004.
2. Greiner T. Infant and young child nutrition: a historic review from a communication perspective. In: Koniz-Booher P, ed. *Proceedings of an international conference on communication strategies to support infant and young child nutrition, July 13-14, 1992, Washington, D.C.*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University, Program in International Nutrition; 1993:7-15.
3. UNICEF. United Nations General Assembly. International Convention on the Rights of the Child. Available at: <http://www.unicef.org/crc/>. Accessed October 29, 2007.
4. Almroth SG. Water requirements of breast-fed infants in a hot climate. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1978;31(7):1154-1157.
5. Kramer MS, Kakuma R. *The optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: a systematic review*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, Department of Nutrition for Health and Development, Department of Child and Adolescent Health and Development; 2002. Available at: http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/optimal_duration_of_exc_bfeeding_review_eng.pdf. Accessed October 29, 2007.
6. AMA. Committee on Foods. Advertising guidelines. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association* 1932;99:391.
7. WHO. *International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1981. Available at: http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/code_english.pdf. Accessed October 29, 2007.
8. Aguayo VM, Ross JS, Kanon S, Ouedraogo AN. Monitoring compliance with the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes in west Africa: multisite cross sectional survey in Togo and Burkina Faso. *BMJ - British Medical Journal* 2003;326(7381):127.
9. Greiner T, Latham MC. The influence of infant food advertising on infant feeding practices in St. Vincent. *International Journal of Health Services* 1982;12(1):53-75.
10. Donnelly A, Snowden HM, Renfrew MJ, Woolridge MW. Commercial hospital discharge packs for breastfeeding women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004;3.
11. World Health Organization. *Breastfeeding counselling: a training course*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1993. Available at: <http://www.who.int/child-adolescent-health/publications/NUTRITION/BFC.htm>. Accessed October 12, 2004.
12. International Board of Lactation Consultant Examiners Web site. Available at: <http://www.iblce.org/>. Accessed October 12, 2004.

13. World Health Organization, Division of Child Health and Development. *Evidence for the ten steps to successful breastfeeding*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1998. Available at: http://www.who.int/child-adolescent-health/publications/NUTRITION/WHO_CHD_98.9.htm. Accessed October 12, 2004.
14. International Labour Organisation. C183 Maternity Protection Convention, 2000. Available at: <http://www.ilo.org/ilolex/cgi-lex/convde.pl?C183>. Accessed October 12, 2004.
15. Kessler LA, Gielen AC, Diener-West M, Paige DM. The effect of a woman's significant other on her breastfeeding decision. *Journal of Human Lactation* 1995;11(2):103-109.
16. Cohen RJ, Brown KH, Rivera LL, Dewey KG. Promoting exclusive breastfeeding for 4-6 months in Honduras: attitudes of mothers and barriers to compliance. *Journal of Human Lactation* 1999;15(1):9-18.
17. Haggerty PA, Rutstein SO. *Breastfeeding and complementary infant feeding, and the postpartum effects of breastfeeding*. Calverton, Md: Macro International Inc.; 1999.
18. de Oliveira Brady S. Protecting breastfeeding: Brazil's story. *Practising Midwife* 2003;6(10):14-16.
19. de Oliveira MIC, Camacho LAB, Tedstone AE. A method for the evaluation of primary health care units' practice in the promotion, protection, and support of breastfeeding: results from the state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Journal of Human Lactation* 2003;19(4):365-373.
20. Rea MF. [A review of breastfeeding in Brazil and how the country has reached ten months' breastfeeding duration] [Portuguese]. *Cadernos de Saude Publica* 2003;19(Suppl 1):S37-S45.
21. de Oliveira MI, Camacho LA, Tedstone AE. Extending breastfeeding duration through primary care: a systematic review of prenatal and postnatal interventions. *Journal of Human Lactation* 2001;17(4):326-343.
22. Pugin E, Valdes V, Labbok MH, Perez A, Aravena R. Does prenatal breastfeeding skills group education increase the effectiveness of a comprehensive breastfeeding promotion program? *Journal of Human Lactation* 1996;12(1):15-19.
23. Protheroe L, Dyson L, Renfrew MJ, Bull J, Mulvihill C. *The effectiveness of public health interventions to promote the initiation of breastfeeding: Evidence briefing*. London, England: NHS Health Development Agency; 2003. Available at: <http://www.nice.org.uk/page.aspx?o=502579>. Accessed October 29, 2007.
24. Morrow AL, Guerrero ML, Shults J, Calva JJ, Lutter C, Bravo J, Ruiz-Palacios G, Morrow RC, Butterfoss FD. Efficacy of home-based peer counselling to promote exclusive breastfeeding: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 1999;353(9160):1226-1231.
25. Haider R, Ashworth A, Kabir I, Huttly SR. Effect of community-based peer counsellors on exclusive breastfeeding practices in Dhaka, Bangladesh: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;356(9242):1643-1647.
26. Bhandari N, Bahl R, Mazumdar S, Martines J, Black RE, Bhan MK, Infant Feeding Study Group. Effect of community-based promotion of exclusive

BREASTFEEDING

- breastfeeding on diarrhoeal illness and growth: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003;361(9367):1418-1423.
27. Jones G, Steketee RW, Black RE, Bhutta ZA, Morris SS, Bellagio Child Survival Study Group. How many child deaths can we prevent this year? *Lancet* 2003;362(9377):65-71.
 28. World Health Organization. *Global strategy for infant and young child feeding*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2003. Available at: http://www.who.int/entity/nutrition/publications/gi_infant_feeding_text_eng.pdf. Accessed October 29, 2007.

To cite this document:

Greiner T. Programs to protect, support and promote breastfeeding. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2004:1-8. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/GreinerANGxp.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2004



Supporting Breastfeeding/Early Childhood Social and Emotion Development

RUTH A. LAWRENCE, MD

University of Rochester School of Medicine, USA

(Published online January 24, 2005)

Topic

Breastfeeding

Introduction

Babies were born to be breastfed. This is the tagline for the recently released universal campaign to promote breastfeeding. Breastfeeding is the best nutrition for all infants because of breast milk's unique properties.¹ It is more than just good nutrition² as it offers infection protection,³ immunologic protection³ and protection against allergies, but most important is its impact on physical⁴ and mental development. Breastfeeding results in reduced incidence of common infections such as diarrhea, otitis media and pneumonia.⁵ It is associated with a reduced incidence of childhood-onset diabetes, celiac disease, Crohn's disease and some childhood malignancies.⁶ More recent work suggests that breastfed infants are less obese in infancy and later childhood.⁷ Mothers who breastfeed have a more physiologic postpartum recovery and a lower incidence of breast and ovarian cancer, osteoporosis and obesity.⁸

The very process of breastfeeding brings a closeness and intimacy between mother and infant that enhances the bond between them.⁹ The World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF), World Alliance for Breastfeeding Action (WABA), and the professional societies of pediatricians,¹⁰ obstetricians and family physicians and the Institute of Medicine (IOM)¹¹ all embrace breastfeeding exclusively for six months. They further recommend continuing while adding weaning foods for the next six months and then as long thereafter as mother and child wish.¹²

Subject

Breastfeeding plays a significant role in the social and emotional development of the child. Over 40 years ago, Niles Newton published the first observations on the difference at age three between children who had been breastfed beyond six months and those who had been bottle-fed since birth.¹³ The children who had been breastfed were more outgoing, socially secure and more advanced on the developmental scales. The mothers were matched for age, parity, education and social status. Subsequent studies by many investigators have established the fact that breastfeeding also affects intellectual development.¹⁴⁻²²

Problems

Given the tremendous advantages of breastfeeding for both infant and mother, why do mothers not choose to breastfeed, or why do they discontinue breastfeeding before the recommended goals of six months of exclusive breastfeeding and at least another six months continued breastfeeding? The common explanation is a need to return to work or other activity outside the home, such as school.

Research Context

Studying breastfeeding behaviours or outcomes is difficult since it is not possible to randomly assign mothers and infants to treatment groups or to control the duration of the process. Critical to any study format for breastfeeding is the very definition of the process. Many studies looking at health outcomes have included infants with any breastfeeding, for example, a few days or weeks, in the same category with infants breastfeeding exclusively for six months, thus diluting the measurable impact. By breastfeeding, we mean exclusive breastfeeding with no other fluids or foods for the first six months. Partial breastfeeding is mostly breastfeeding but with the addition of occasional bottles of formula, water, juices or herbal teas. Other infants are given part breast milk and part formula, still others are given more formula than breast milk. For research purposes, these definitions have been established.²³

Epidemiologic studies of large groups of children who have been breastfed with a comparison group of children who have been bottle-fed is a model applied to studies attempting to measure the developmental outcome of infants. Critical to the interpretation of these results are the demographic variables, such as maternal age, parity (the condition of a woman with respect to having borne viable offspring), race, socioeconomic status and education. The outcomes have predominantly measured illness as an endpoint or intellectual development. Equally important issues are social adjustment, interpersonal relationships and social maturation.

Mother-infant interaction is described by Newton in unrestricted breastfeeding.¹³ The breast is used not only to assuage hunger but also to assuage all types of discomfort and fears. Distress signals are answered by mouth – nipple contact and body contact. In the older child, the whole body reacts to nursing. In animal studies when pups are rotated from mother to mother, there were significant increases in emotionality and distress.²⁴

Research Questions

Key questions that need clarification are the impact of being breastfed in terms of not only developmental milestones but psychological development, maturity, self-assuredness, assertiveness and behavioural adaptations as compared with the impact of being bottle-fed on these parameters.

The effect of breastfeeding on mothers is also an important question. Although it is said that mothers who breastfeed are not different, breastfeeding makes them different by the very relationship, physically and psychologically.^{8,25,26}

Recent Research Results

Being breastfed exclusively for at least four months has been shown to have a positive effect on the intellectual development of children even when controlled for the demographic variables, especially socioeconomic status (SES) and education of the mother.¹⁴⁻²² The nutrient advantages of human milk coupled with the mother-infant relationship provide the matrix for the child to reach his/her full intellectual potential.

Contrary to the belief that extended breastfeeding makes the children very dependent upon their mothers, it actually makes them more secure and allows for social growth.²⁷

If the studies of intellectual development and visual and auditory acuity are examined more closely, there is some suggestion of social maturity or behavioural characteristics. In Horwood's long-range study that followed children from birth to 18 years or the completion of high school, breastfed children were rated as more cooperative and socially better students the longer they were breastfed.¹⁷ When drop-out rates were calculated, the rate was higher among children who had been bottle-fed and lowest among those who had been breastfed equal to or longer than eight months, even when data were adjusted for maternal demographics.

The New Zealand investigators actually reported on the later psychological adjustments using measurements between the ages of 15 and 18 years.¹⁷ Beginning from birth to one year, breastfeeding practices were carefully described in 999 mother-infant pairs. A sample of children 15 to 18 years of age were assessed using a range of psychosocial measures including parent-child relationships, juvenile delinquency, substance abuse and mental health. The children who were breastfed longer (greater than four months) were more likely to report higher levels of parental attachment. They also perceived their mothers as being more caring and less overprotective of them compared to their bottle-fed peers. The subsequent rates of juvenile offending, substance use and mental health were factored with maternal age, education and SES. The authors concluded that extended breastfeeding is not associated with mental health risks but breastfeeding can result in closer parent-child relationships.¹⁷ Doubt of the relationship between breastfeeding and cognitive development led to a meta-analysis of 20 studies. After adjustment for 15 appropriate key factors (including maternal age, education, race, ethnicity, SES, family size and childhood experiences), breastfeeding was associated with significantly higher scores for cognitive development than formula feeding. A difference of 3.16 points was measurable through 15 years.¹⁷ A casual observation regarding reactions to such data demonstrates anger in individual mothers who protest that their bottle-fed infants turned out fine and went to college and graduate school. It is important to point out that a child with a genetic potential for an IQ of 150 will probably not notice a 3.4 point deficit. A child with a potential for an IQ of 100 would benefit from 3.4 points. In other words, breastfeeding allows an infant to reach his/her full potential.

In a study of a homogeneous (similar age, SES and education) population where mothers had a favourable environment and most infants were breastfed, the duration of breastfeeding clearly made a difference in cognitive development at 13 months and five years. The longer the breastfeeding continued, the higher the developmental scores.¹⁵

While there are no formal studies, it is apparent from a review of the child abuse literature that women who breastfeed their infants are not identified as abusing them. The question of infant feeding methods is an important parameter when evaluating a case of child abuse.

Conclusions

Breastfeeding makes a difference for the infant in issues of nutrition, growth and development, as well as protection from infection, allergy and some chronic diseases. The impact of breast milk and the process of being breastfed enhance intellectual development and the mother-infant relationship for the infant. The psychosocial development of the infant is more advanced the longer the child is breastfed during the first year of life. There are no data to measure the benefits of extended breastfeeding, although it is known that immunological protection continues as long as the child is breastfed.

Maternal benefits of breastfeeding have been established in the realm of better postpartum recovery and decreased risk of long-term obesity, osteoporosis and breast and ovarian cancer. The impact on mothering skills and attitudes has not been investigated since the work of Newton and Newton, 1950-1960.^{13,24} The physical closeness of mother and infant in the process of breastfeeding allows eye-to-eye contact and precipitates characteristic behaviour described in the bonding process by Klaus and Kennell.²⁵ The physiologic process of let-down when the nipple is stimulated releases maternal oxytocin and prolactin, which enhance mothering behaviours in all species tested and in most species, both male and female.¹³

Implications

Implications of breastfeeding are important for the infant, mother, both parents, the health-care system and the costs to society of raising healthy children who reach their full potential.²⁸

Encouraging women to breastfeed exclusively for six months, continue for the next six months while adding weaning foods and then as long thereafter as mother and infant choose should be standard advice, reflecting the recommendations of WHO, UNICEF, and the Innocenti Declaration.²⁹ The national policy should follow the WHO code of marketing, which forbids marketing of breast milk substitutes on television, radio or in print materials and prohibits the giving of free formula samples.

One of the most difficult hurdles for women is to continue breastfeeding once they leave the supportive environment of the hospital. The health-care system needs to provide a more substantive support system, beginning with well-trained experienced peer-counsellors to carry mothers through the perceived problems of the first few weeks. Having a baby changes one's life, and current culture in modern cities just does not provide the network of support mothers need.

Not everything is known about breastfeeding's impact on the mother and infant. Well-designed studies patterned after the early observations and projections of Niles Newton¹³

BREASTFEEDING

would bring the process forward to greater understanding. Parenting is influenced by breastfeeding but needs to be understood in relationship to behaviour, social adaptation and social understanding of the infant.

REFERENCES

1. Lawrence RA, Lawrence RM. *Breastfeeding: A guide for the medical profession*. 5th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby; 1999.
2. Picciano MF. Nutrient composition of human milk. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):53-+.
3. Hamosh M. Bioactive factors in human milk. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):69-+.
4. Dewey KG. Nutrition, growth, and complementary feeding of the breastfed infant. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):87-+.
5. Heinig MJ. Host defense benefits of breastfeeding for the infant: Effect of breastfeeding duration and exclusivity. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):105-+.
6. Davis MK. Breastfeeding and chronic disease in childhood and adolescence. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):125-+.
7. Butte NE. The role of breastfeeding in obesity. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):189-+.
8. Labbok MH. Effects of breastfeeding on the mother. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):143-+.
9. Trause MA, Klaus MH, Kennell JH. Maternal behavior in mammals. In: Klaus MH, Kennell JH, eds. *Maternal-infant bonding*. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby; 1976:16-37.
10. Gartner LM, Black LS, Eaton AP, Lawrence RA, Naylor AJ, Neifert ME, OHare D, Schanler RJ, Georgieff M, Piovanetti Y, Queenan J. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. *Pediatrics* 1997;100(6):1035-1039.
11. Subcommittee on Nutrition During Lactation, Committee on Nutritional Status during Pregnancy and Lactation, Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Sciences. *Nutrition during lactation*. Washington, DC: Nation Academy Press; 1991.
12. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *HHS Blueprint for action on breastfeeding*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office on Women's Health; 2000. Available at: <http://www.4woman.gov/Breastfeeding/bluprntbk2.pdf>. Accessed November 29, 2004.
13. Newton N. The uniqueness of human milk. Psychological differences between breast and bottle feeding. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1971;24(8):993-1004.
14. Anderson JW, Johnstone BM, Remley DT. Breast-feeding and cognitive development: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1999;70(4):525-535.
15. Angelsen NK, Vik T, Jacobsen G, Bakketeig LS. Breast feeding and cognitive development at age 1 and 5 years. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2001;85(3):183-188.
16. Horwood LJ, Darlow BA, Mogridge N. Breast milk feeding and cognitive ability at 7-8 years. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal & Neonatal Edition* 2001;84(1):F23-F27.

BREASTFEEDING

17. Horwood LJ, Fergusson DM. Breastfeeding and later cognitive and academic outcomes. *Pediatrics* 1998;101(1):E9.
18. Jacobson SW, Chiodo LM, Jacobson JL. Breastfeeding effects on intelligence quotient in 4- and 11-year-old children. *Pediatrics* 1999;103(5):E71.
19. Johnson DL, Swank PR, Howie VM, Baldwin CD. Breast feeding and children's intelligence. *Psychological Reports* 1996;79(3, Pt. 2):1179-1185.
20. Lucas A, Morley R, Cole TJ, Lister G, Leeson-Payne C. Breast milk and subsequent intelligence quotient in children born preterm. *Lancet* 1992;339(8788):261-264.
21. Reynolds A. Breastfeeding and brain development. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):159-171.
22. Rogan WJ, Gladen BC. Breast-feeding and cognitive development. *Early Human Development* 1993;31(3):181-193.
23. Coffin CJ, Labbok MH, Belsey M. Breastfeeding definitions. *Contraception* 1997;55(6):323-325.
24. Newton N, Newton M. Psychologic aspects of lactation. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1967;277(22):1179-1188.
25. Klaus MH, Kennell JH. Maternal-infant bonding. In: Klaus MH, Kennell JH, eds. *Maternal-infant bonding*. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby; 1976:1-15.
26. Newton NR. The relationship between infant feeding experience and later behavior. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1951;38:28-40.
27. Fergusson DM, Woodward LJ. Breast feeding and later psychosocial adjustment. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology* 1999;13(2):144-157.
28. Ball TM, Bennett DM. The economic impact of breastfeeding. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2001;48(1):253-262.
29. Innocenti declaration. On the protection, promotion and support of breastfeeding. 1 August, 1990, Florence, Italy. Available at: http://www.infactcanada.ca/innocenti_declaration.htm. Accessed November 29, 2004.

To cite this document:

Lawrence RA. Supporting breastfeeding/early childhood social and emotion development. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2005:1-7. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/LawrenceANGxp.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2005



Breastfeeding Promotion and Early Child Development: Comments on Woodward and Liberty, Pérez-Escamilla, Lawrence, and Greiner

MICHAEL S. KRAMER, MD

*McGill University Faculty of Medicine, the Institute of Human Development
and Child and Youth Health, Canadian Institutes of Health Research,
CANADA*

(Published online June 20, 2005)

Topic

Breastfeeding

Introduction

Breastfeeding has been reported to have a number of health advantages for both the mother and the child, some of which are more solidly established than others. The evidence that breastfeeding protects against gastrointestinal and respiratory infection is strong and consistent, with major implications for morbidity and even mortality, particularly in developing-country settings. Because these infections rarely have life-and-death consequences in industrialized countries, however, the major recent focus in such countries has been on the potential role of breastfeeding in protecting over the long term against adult chronic diseases (including obesity, coronary heart disease and both type 1 and type 2 diabetes), and specifically, its potentially beneficial effects on neurocognitive development and behaviour. Because of the practical and ethical difficulties in randomizing healthy human infants to be breastfed vs. formula-fed or to different durations or exclusivity of breastfeeding, the scientific evidence bearing on these outcomes is based almost exclusively on observational (non-experimental) studies. It is in this context that the papers by Woodward and Liberty, Pérez-Escamilla, Lawrence, and Greiner have attempted to review the available evidence. The first three of these four papers summarize the literature linking infant feeding to early child development, while the fourth focuses on health services and policies to protect, support and promote breastfeeding in developed-country settings.

Research and Conclusions

In their paper, Woodward and Liberty point out the difficulty of making causal inferences in observational studies due to potentially confounding differences in maternal mental health and “nurturance,” which can affect feeding choice and can also have causal influences on child development independent of infant feeding. Although the authors claim that random assignment of two different feeding groups has not been possible, such an experimental study has indeed been carried out by Lucas and his colleagues, who compared banked human milk, preterm formula and term formula given to preterm

infants; the results indicate improved cognitive development in those who received banked breast milk.¹ The authors cite studies suggesting emotional benefits for the mother who breastfeeds, improved maternal-infant attachment, improved alertness and orientation of the infants, and reduced duration of crying (although the latter has not been supported by other studies). The authors point out the limited evidence bearing on long-term benefits for behaviour and mental health of the offspring. They also state that maternal alcohol and medication use reduce the quality of the breast milk and may thereby adversely affect infant behaviour, but to my knowledge, the doses ingested through this route have not been linked to such adverse effects.

Pérez-Escamilla briefly reviews the rather consistent finding of higher IQs in breastfed infants, even after adjusting for socioeconomic status (including maternal education). Although he emphasizes the potential etiologic role of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFAs) in explaining this effect, Cochrane reviews suggest the evidence is not so clear-cut, either in term² or preterm³ infants. As Pérez-Escamilla points out, data regarding breastfeeding and motor development are few and even less conclusive. He concludes with a review of the evidence suggesting that breastfeeding has a long-term protective effect against obesity and speculates that such a protective effect may be due to improved appetite regulation resulting from the rising fat concentration during breastfeeding. Pérez-Escamilla concludes by calling for more research on some of the school/academic and long-term behavioural and psychosocial developmental outcomes in breastfed vs. formula-fed infants.

Lawrence reviews some of the same evidence bearing on breastfeeding and neurocognitive development and evidence from the long-term New Zealand cohort study suggesting an improved parent-child relationship. Like the authors of the previous two papers, Lawrence makes the claim that “it is not possible to randomly assign mothers and infants to treatment groups or to control the duration of the process.” In fact, however, Morrow et al.⁴ in Mexico, Dewey and her colleagues in Honduras^{5,6}, and we in Belarus⁷ have all managed to experimentally allocate groups of mothers and infants to experimental vs. control interventions that affect the duration and/or exclusivity of breastfeeding. And as already mentioned, Lucas and his colleagues randomly assigned a group of preterm infants to banked human milk vs. preterm formula vs. term formula.¹ Experimental designs are therefore possible in this domain and probably should be used more frequently in future investigations.

Finally, Greiner’s paper focuses on clinical- and public-health policies that protect, support and promote breastfeeding. He appropriately emphasizes the importance of the World Health Assembly’s International Code of Marketing of Breast Milk Substitutes and of political “climate,” maternal employment policy and the WHO/UNICEF Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI). Unfortunately, Greiner fails to cite some of the best evidence available on this topic, i.e. evidence from randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses of randomized trials. Based on this evidence, some of the interventions he advocates are far better supported than others. The evidence favouring on-demand feeding, hospital rooming-in and postnatal support is strong.^{8,9} On the other hand, trials of glucose or formula supplementation suggest no detrimental effect on breastfeeding

duration.¹⁰⁻¹² Greiner correctly points out how difficult it is to “tease out” the precise components of complex promotional programs that have an impact. But countries like Norway and Sweden have shown what can be achieved with active enforcement of the international code, enlightened maternal-leave policies and widespread societal support for breastfeeding.

Implications for Services

Clinical services and public-health policies that favour the initiation, exclusivity and duration of breastfeeding are likely to yield important benefits for early child development. Benefits have been reported both in preterm and in healthy term infants, but whether they are due to biological components (e.g. LCPUFAs) in human milk or to the enhanced maternal-infant interaction accorded by breastfeeding is unclear. Although the magnitude of the beneficial effect is small at the individual level, the potential impact on the overall population of infants and young children is of major public-health importance. Countries like Norway and Sweden have shown that clinical and societal support for breastfeeding can yield enormous dividends.

REFERENCES

1. Lucas A, Morley R, Cole TJ, Lister G, Leeson-Pagne C. Breast milk and subsequent intelligence quotient in children born preterm. *Lancet* 1992;339(8788):261-264.
2. Simmer K. Longchain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation in infants born at term. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001;4:CD000376.
3. Simmer K, Patole S. Longchain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004;1:CD000375.
4. Morrow AL, Guerrero ML, Shults J, Calva JJ, Lutter C, Bravo J, Ruiz-Palacios G, Morrow RC, Butterfoss FD. Efficacy of home-based peer counselling to promote exclusive breastfeeding: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 1999;353(9160):1226-1231.
5. Cohen RJ, Brown KH, Canahuati J, Rivera LL, Dewey KG. Effects of age of introduction of complementary foods on infant breast milk intake, total energy intake, and growth: a randomized intervention study in Honduras. *Lancet* 1994;344(8918):288-293.
6. Dewey KG, Cohen RJ, Brown KH, Rivera LL. Effects of exclusive breastfeeding for four versus six months on maternal nutritional status and infant motor development: Results of two randomized trials in Honduras. *Journal of Nutrition* 2001;131(2):262-267.
7. Kramer MS, Chalmers B, Hodnett ED, Sevkovskaya Z, Dzikovich I, Shapiro S, Collet JP, Vanilovich I, Mezen I, Ducruet T, Shishko G, Zubovich V, Mknuk D, Gluchanina E, Dombrovskiy V, Ustinovitch A, Kot T, Bogdanovich N, Ovchinkova L, Helsing E. Promotion of breastfeeding intervention trial (PROBIT): A randomized trial in the Republic of Belarus. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association* 2001;285(4):413-420.
8. Pérez-Escamilla R, Pollitt E, Lönnerdal B, Dewey KG. Infant feeding policies in maternity wards and their effect on breast-feeding success: an analytical overview. *American Journal of Public Health* 1994;84(1):89-97.
9. Sikorski J, Renfrew MJ, Pindoria S, Wade A. Support for breastfeeding mothers: a systematic review. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology* 2003;17(4):407-417.
10. Gray-Donald K, Kramer MS, Munday S, Leduc DG. Effect of formula supplementation in the hospital on the duration of breast-feeding: a controlled clinical trial. *Pediatrics* 1985;75(3):514-518.
11. Cronenwett L, Stukel T, Kearney M, Barrett J, Covington C, Del Monte K, Reinhardt R, Rippe L. Single daily bottle use in the early weeks postpartum and breast-feeding outcomes. *Pediatrics* 1992;90(5):760-766.
12. Schubiger G, Schwarz U, Tönz O, for the Neonatal Study Group. UNICEF/WHO baby-friendly hospital initiative: does the use of bottles and pacifiers in the neonatal nursery prevent successful breastfeeding? *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1997;156(11):874-877.

BREASTFEEDING

To cite this document:

Kramer MS. Breastfeeding promotion and early child development: Comments on Woodward and Liberty, Pérez-Escamilla, Lawrence, and Greiner. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2005:1-5. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/KramerANGxp.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2005



Breastfeeding and Its Impact on Child Psychosocial and Emotional Development: Comments on Woodward and Liberty, Greiner, Pérez-Escamilla, and Lawrence

GRACE S. MARQUIS, PhD

Iowa State University, USA

(Published online October 28, 2005)

Topic

Breastfeeding

Introduction

Breastfeeding is the recommended method of infant feeding worldwide. While the nutritional and immunological advantages of breastfeeding are well documented,¹ consistent study results concerning the psychosocial benefits are more elusive. The pathways by which breastfeeding affects psychosocial and emotional development are difficult to disentangle and are not always uni-directional. Confounding variables, such as maternal education, are closely associated with the practice of breastfeeding, yet are also determinants of psychosocial development.^{2,3} Environmental factors interact with biological determinants, modifying their observed effect on development. For example, Engle et al.⁴ suggest that maternal vocalization patterns may differentially modulate the influence that child nutritional status has on cognitive development. Further, Pollitt postulated that not only do environmental factors (such as vocal stimulation) have a direct and modifying effect on children's development, the reverse is also true – a developmentally advanced child elicits more stimulation from a caregiver.⁵

Three of the four papers presented here discuss the research challenges in distinguishing the effect of breastfeeding on the social and emotional development of young children. These papers focus on maternal-infant attachment, social and behavioural adjustment, and cognitive development as indicators of psychosocial development. The fourth paper, by Greiner, discusses the social practices that will help optimal breastfeeding behaviours to become the social norm.

Research and Conclusions

Woodward and Liberty review many of the challenges of research on psychosocial development. There are a wide range of psychosocial outcomes that span from the neonatal period (e.g. early maternal-infant interactions) to later childhood and adolescence (e.g. behavioural adjustment). In addition, a variety of group comparisons can be made: breastfed versus bottle-fed, before versus after an episode of breastfeeding, or by duration or pattern of breastfeeding. Importantly, Woodward and Liberty identify as

key research issues the distinction between short-term and long-term effects and the mechanism by which breastfeeding may influence psychosocial development. Woodward and Liberty demonstrate the complexity of the mechanistic pathway in their example of the influence of breastfeeding on maternal moods and the effect of the infant's feedback to the mother. However, the pathways for the different outcomes may each be unique.

Breastfeeding is a choice, not a behaviour that is randomly assigned to mothers. Women who choose to breastfeed are different from women who choose to bottle-feed their infant, and these other characteristics of the mother and her environment are confounding factors of the analysis. Although Woodward and Liberty discuss this analysis challenge, what appears to be missing is a recognition that the maternal characteristics associated with breastfeeding will vary depending on the culture studied. The maternal characteristics associated with breastfeeding (such as higher education and wealth) in resource-rich countries like Canada and the U.S. are not universal. In fact, in low-resource countries, breastfeeding is more common among the poor and less educated.⁶ The unique cultural context has to be considered if we hope to understand the pathways through which breastfeeding influences psychosocial development.

The paper by Pérez-Escamilla approaches psychosocial development from a different perspective than Woodward and Liberty. This review emphasizes the effect breastfeeding has on three characteristics associated with the psychosocial development of the older child: infant cognitive and motor development, and childhood obesity. Pérez-Escamilla nicely demonstrates the strength of the evidence that supports the positive effect of breastfeeding on cognitive development. There is a statistically significant, consistent result among studies; a “need response” (premature infants, who have a greater physiological need, benefit more than normal-weight infants); a logical temporal sequence (i.e. the cause precedes the effect); and a biologically plausible relationship, based on the role of PUFAs (poly-unsaturated fatty acids) in visual and mental development.

Pérez-Escamilla provides examples of studies in which breastfeeding is associated with more advanced motor development in infants, as indicated by the early attainment of certain milestones, such as crawling. Pollitt suggested that delayed development in malnourished infants may give the appearance of the child being “young,” and therefore elicit less stimulation from the mother and the household environment.⁷ However, the evidence that early motor development is associated with improved psychosocial development for well nourished children is not presented in the Pérez-Escamilla article.

The final paper on psychosocial development, by Lawrence, returns to early observational work by Newton.⁸ In those studies 40 years ago, there was an ongoing discussion of the challenges of conducting research on breastfeeding. A primary concern then (and now) is the definition of breastfeeding. Lawrence also notes that breastfeeding occurs not only as a response to hunger, but also as a mechanism of decreasing a child's stress and discomfort, and therefore would be expected to play an important role in the child's psychosocial development. This is consistent with Peruvian mothers' description of breastfeeding as a means of providing the child with comfort, love, security and

communication.⁹ However, research is needed on how breastfeeding influences human characteristics that are more difficult to quantify: assertiveness, social maturity, self-assuredness. Lawrence reports on some measures of the benefits of breastfeeding on these characteristics, such as breastfed children being more cooperative and less likely to drop out of school, in studies on cognitive development, but they are limited. Well-designed studies that provide the richness of the Newton observational research are still needed.

The paper by Greiner stands out from the others because it looks at the societal features that need to be in place for successful promotion of breastfeeding. Although Greiner believes that there is a place for a general information campaign to educate each new generation of mothers, there is a need for a balanced approach that is informative about the risks of not optimally feeding an infant. Stating that breast is best is not sufficient. For breastfeeding practices to improve, there needs to be support at every level – through the legal system (e.g. to support the Code), through health facilities to teach new mothers good breastfeeding techniques, through labour laws designed to promote working conditions that are consistent with six months of exclusive breastfeeding, and through social support of friends and family. Intervention activities that do not work cooperatively to accomplish support at all levels meet with only limited success.

Implications for Services, Development and Policy

The first three papers provide evidence that breastfeeding is associated with some components of psychosocial development. All three authors also recognize that there is a paucity of good studies and serious challenges remain to understanding the mechanisms by which breastfeeding is influential. Whereas Pérez-Escamilla and Lawrence conclude that the benefits for psychosocial development exist and should form part of the policy decision, Woodward and Liberty conclude that there is no substantive evidence and that the promotion of breastfeeding should be based solely on the nutritional and cognitive advantages. Woodward and Liberty's conclusion seems overly conservative. They present evidence of short-term benefits for the mother that would improve her ability to provide stimulation and good child care, as well as benefits for the infant (increased level of alertness, motor assessment self-regulation and less crying). Although there is little evidence of long-term benefits, the short-term benefits, as well as the absence of negative associations with breastfeeding, would seem to suggest that policy-makers can include breastfeeding as one of many social interventions to promote healthy psychosocial development in young children. There exists a wide range of behaviours and needs in every society. Services and policies should work to help all of society meet their potential. Thus, policies should be designed not only to reduce the number of extreme cases of mental illness but also to help all families to improve the psychosocial development of their children. Breastfeeding is not a panacea, but the literature would suggest that infants and children benefit in many ways when their mothers are able to optimally breastfeed. Society should find ways to support mothers so that this practice becomes universal.

REFERENCES

1. Kramer MS, Kakuma R. The optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: A systematic review. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* 2004;554:63-77.
2. Newton N. The uniqueness of human milk. Psychological differences between breast and bottle feeding. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1971;24(8):993-1004.
3. Anderson JW, Johnstone BM, Remley DT. Breast-feeding and cognitive development: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1999;70(4):525-535.
4. Engle PL, Castle S, Menon P. Child development: vulnerability and resilience. *Social Science and Medicine* 1996;43(5):621-635.
5. Pollitt E, Gorman KS, Engle PL, Martorell R, Rivera J. Early supplementary feeding and cognition: effects over two decades. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development* 1993;58(7):1-99.
6. Grummer-Strawn LM. The effect of changes in population characteristics on breastfeeding trends in fifteen developing countries. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 1996;25(1):94-102.
7. Brown JL, Pollitt E. Malnutrition, poverty and intellectual development. *Scientific American* 1996;274(2):38-43.
8. Newton NR. The relationship between infant feeding experience and later behavior. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1951;38(1):28-40.
9. Marquis GS, Diaz J, Bartolini R, Creed de Kanashiro H, Rasmussen KM. Recognizing the reversible nature of child-feeding decisions: breastfeeding, weaning, and relactation patterns in a shanty town community of Lima, Peru. *Social Science and Medicine* 1998;47(5):645-656.

To cite this document:

Marquis GS. Breastfeeding and its impact on child psychosocial and emotional development: Comments on Woodward and Liberty, Greiner, Pérez-Escamilla, and Lawrence. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2005:1-4. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/MarquisANGxp.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

Copyright © 2005